SOUTH DAKOTA BOARD OF EDUCATION STANDARDS

ſ			
1	Monday, July 15, 2019 - 9:00 a.m. Central Time		
2	Location:	MacKay Building, First Floor, Library Commons	
3		800 Governors Drive	
4		Pierre, SD 57501-2235	
5			
6		Public Telephone Access:	
7		1-866-410-8397/conference code: 8381998525#	
8			
9		For live streaming of meeting:	
10		http://www.sd.net	
11	D.4 a la a	Curan Assilan Bussidant	
12	Members	Susan Aguilar, President	
13	present:	Rebecca Guffin	
14		Scott Herman	
15		Kay Schallenkamp	
16		Jacqueline Sly	
17 18		Gopal Vyas, Vice-President Lori Wagner	
19		LOTT Wagnet	
20	Department of Education (DOE)		
21	attendance:	Dr. Ben Jones, Kathy Riedy, Carla Leingang, and Ferne Haddock.	
22	atteriaariee.	Br. Berryones, Rathy Ricay, Carla Lenigang, and Ferrie Haddock.	
23	Others in		
24	attendance:	Dr. Jay Perry and other members of the public in attendance in person or via	
25		phone.	
26			
27	Call to Order, Pledge of Allegiance, and Roll Call:		
28			
29	President S. A	guilar called the meeting to order at approximately 9:00 a.m. Central Time.	
30			
31	Pledge of Allegiance		
32			
33	Roll Call: A qu	orum was present.	
34			
35	Adoption of Agenda:		
36			
37	Haddock, Executive Secretary of the Board of Education Standards (BOES), corrected a time		
38	stamp error on the amended agenda.		
39			
40	Motion by Vyas, second by Guffin, to adopt the July 15, 2019, agenda as corrected.		
41			
42	Voice vote. Al	ll present voted in favor. Motion carried.	
43			

Approval of Minutes: Motion by Wagner, second by Schallenkamp, to approve the May 20, 2019, minutes as corrected. Voice vote. All present voted in favor. Motion carried. **Conflicts Disclosures (SDCL 3-23):** Herman disclosed he is employed by the Rosebud Sioux Tribe and disclosed a grant agreement to provide meals on a reimbursement basis to the Rosebud Sioux Tribe Head Start Programs through the Child and Adult Nutrition Services (CANS) within the South Dakota Department of Education. Sly had no disclosures. Vyas had no disclosures. Aguilar had no disclosures. Schallenkamp had no disclosures. Guffin disclosed her employment contract with the Aberdeen School District and the Aberdeen School District's receipt of funds through the state Consolidated Grant Application, including IDEA, Perkins, school nutrition program funds and the SD mentoring program from the department. Wagner summarized that her employer, Northern State University and the E-Learning Center, have state contracts for college readiness coursework and the SD mentoring program. Wagner stated that she does not play a role in those contracts **Public Comment Period:** No public comment was offered. **Board of Regents (BOR) report** Dr. Jay Perry, System Vice President for Academic Affairs, South Dakota Board of Regents, reported on three programs recently approved by the BOR and summarized a recent report on college affordability at public universities in South Dakota.

07/15/2019 BOES Minutes 2

Perry reported on the master's degree in Interdisciplinary Studies program at South Dakota State University (SDSU). It is designed to be flexible, innovative, and tailored to meet individual

student needs. Students earning this degree would have credits from at least two, possibly

three, sub fields. The intent of this program is that the graduates will meet the Higher Learning Commission's accreditation requirements for teaching university courses, dual credit, or concurrent courses in high school. This came about from discussions, first with some of the tribal colleges in the state, and an effort from SDSU to help make sure that the faculty at those institutions were qualified under the accrediting body's standards. But BOR also found it has some positive implications for the ability to offer concurrent credit programs. They are hoping it will have some residual benefits in K-12 education and the ability to offer courses moving forward.

Perry also presented on the joint system-wide certificate program. Each of the institutions is authorized to offer it. It is a two-certificate package in graduate mathematics and advanced graduate mathematics. The intent is to help people who already have a master's degree get qualified to teach dual credit or concurrent enrollment courses in math or statistics content areas.

The Interdisciplinary Studies master's program and the joint system-wide certificate program are offered online. They are designed to help teachers who can't go to a campus and get up to speed to meet the accreditation standards to be able to teach concurrent and dual credit courses.

Perry also stated that the University of South Dakota (USD) has long had a graduate certificate in American Indian Studies. It is designed for people who are completing education programs who want to improve their ability to work with students who are American Indian. The program has been approved for online delivery. The program includes courses in: multi-cultural studies, human relations, South Dakota Indian Studies, current issues and problems in Indian education, and history of Indian education. It provides background for teachers who are going to be working with an American Indian population and now that it is online it may help some of our remote school districts who can't get to a campus. BOR hopes this will be helpful to K-12 schools.

Perry also summarized a recent report on college affordability. The highlights of this report show that in terms of the cost of attendance at our universities; (tuition and fees), South Dakota ranks in the middle of the country. The state runs into problems when the data is analyzed considering the net price of college in South Dakota: the cost of tuition minus available grant aid (everything other than federal student loans). Under that calculation, South Dakota becomes one of the ten most expensive public university systems in the country. That, Perry says, is a problem. The significant driver for the net cost problem is that South Dakota invests the third lowest amount per student per scholarships in the country. That means South Dakota students are paying more of the whole cost of attendance than students in other states. Certainly, more than our regional competitors, who have in the last ten years invested significantly in scholarships, especially needs-based scholarships and funding for students.

The consequence of this net price problem is that for students from South Dakota's lowest-income families, public university education is becoming unaffordable. Perry says, we know this

because even students who receive the federal Pell Grant are struggling to make up the difference to our net price. Since 2010 we have seen a 10% decrease in the percentage of students who have Pell Grants in our institutions. That calculates to 2,400 fewer students in our university system and 2,400 fewer students specifically from lower-income families, so there are then fewer opportunities for students who need the opportunity the most. Perry stated that there are two ways to combat this problem: one is on the tuition and fees side, which is BOR's responsibility and something they have been working on, trying to make it a priority to limit increases in tuition and fees. The other side is to try to increase the amount of state support for scholarship funding. The BOR is working in partnership with the technical institutes, private institutions, and tribal colleges to reform the Dakota's Promise Scholarship Program. It is designed specifically for low-income students. It is a needs-based program rather than a merit-based program, although there will be some merit-based qualifications to receive it.

Board questions:

In response to a board question about whether South Dakota students have the highest debt load, Perry stated that internal numbers show that we are ranked fourth, not first. This is also directly relatable to the amount of grant aid. The good news is that the default rate on student loans is among the lowest in the country.

In response to a question about lower starting salaries in South Dakota making it harder to keep graduates in the state, Perry states that yes, it does.

What is the average cost of higher education in South Dakota? The average cost in South Dakota is approximately \$22,393 per year for housing, food, tuition, etc.

Has tuition gone up because state support has gone down? Perry's response was that there are differing opinions, however he would support that interpretation. However, that is not just South Dakota, that is nationwide.

What is the Dakota's Promise possibility? Perry responded that the Dakota's Promise possibility is yet to be determined. A workgroup from the tribal colleges, technical institutes, private institutions, and BOR is working to finalize the proposal. However, the basic parameters would be that a student would be eligible if they were eligible to receive a Pell Grant eligible to be admitted to one of the institutions. At the moment there is no requirement to remain in the state, but it is a possibility being considered.

When asked whether there is data related to Perry's mention of low-income students possibly going out of state because they can get need-based scholarships in other states; Perry said that the data is not yet complete. Perry stated that, while there is rough data that we can make assumptions on, there is currently nothing that he could give a definitive answer on. A board member stated that there is some data out there. It is related to Native American students and tuition waivers. Perry stated that tuition waivers are on the next meeting agenda for the Council Presidents, as well as how to work with Native American students.

University of Sioux Falls (USF) Educator Preparation Program Accreditation Approval

Kathy Riedy, Administrator Accreditation, DOE, presented the University of Sioux Falls (USF) Educator Preparation Program Accreditation for approval. In Spring of 2016 the University of Sioux Falls underwent a joint review with the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP). They received one stipulation from that review. In October 2016, CAEP granted two years of continued accreditation to the University of Sioux Falls. The Board of Education Standards agreed to that decision in January 2017. In fall 2018, the University of Sioux Falls underwent a stipulation review. CAEP found that the stipulation had been resolved. In spring 2019 CAEP granted five additional years (through fall 2024 and will undergo a review in spring 2023) of accreditation to the University of Sioux Falls. Riedy was asking for BOES approval of the program.

Michelle Hanson from USF was on the phone and noted that USF was happy with the letter.

Schallenkamp disclosed that she is on the National Accreditation Council (NAC). She was not in on the meeting reviewing USF. She will abstain from the vote.

Motion by Sly, second by Guffin to approve the program as presented.

Voice vote. Schallenkamp abstained, all others present voted in favor. Motion carried.

Secretary's Report

Secretary of Education Dr. Benjamin Jones presented the National Education Association (NEA) April 2019 report to the board on states' teacher pay rankings. South Dakota ranked 47th in the 2017-18 school year, up from 51st in 2015. Progress toward raising teacher pay in South Dakota is inching up. This is salary and does not include benefits.

Jones stated that there are now at least three years of trending data on math and English language arts. This coming spring, the department will have two years of Science data that will show us where we are compared to where we were. The department is beginning to build up a track record of data and scores and information, which they plan to act on. In the coming strategic plan, DOE will use that information to develop a plan to improve the scores.

At the board's next meeting, in September, the department will release the Report Card scores from last spring's testing. The board will be given an informational brief on what the numbers show.

The department will use those scores, and other numbers to develop the department's next strategic plan. The strategic planning process will begin this week. The department has hired a consultant, and the goal is to involve as many people in the department as possible to define the department's mission, vision, and values. Going forward, the Secretary would like to infuse

the strategic objectives across the department, so that the goals will have an impact on all the department's work.

The plan is to keep the statewide goals of third grade reading, eighth grade math, college and

career readiness. The Secretary wants to have clear, defined, credible and actionable ways that the department can support improving the Report Card every year.

The department will be hiring an instructional specialist to support social studies. Right now, the department has somebody supporting, math, English language arts, "science and

everything else". So, taking social studies out of the "science and everything else" section, let the science specialist focus on science and will let the new hire concentrate on social studies. The department has workshops, etc. for math and English language because they have specialists in the department. The Secretary would like social studies to have the same support.

Board Questions:

As far as the state strategic planning is that on-going or do you have a start time and an end date?

Jones responded that the planning for the next two weeks will be intensive and in the next three months there will be some follow-up work to further define it. Jones does not feel that a strategy is a strategy until you have: ends, ways, means, resources, and a person whose job it is to do a particular thing.

What is the involvement of the Tribal Education Department as far as the State's strategic plan?

Jones replied that yes, Juliana* (Juliana White Bull, Director, Office of Indian Education, South Dakota Department of Tribal Relations), is a part of the state's strategic planning.

Are you only looking at the Smarter Balanced scores as the basis for the strategic plan or are you looking at other data points?

Jones answered that the growth numbers and the proficiency rates are probably the numbers that will get the most attention. He said he also wants a discussion about what is a well-rounded education. The department will be meeting with the ESSA (Every Student Succeeds Act) workgroup, social studies workgroup, and others so that the department might better understand the definition of a well-rounded education.

Who is the consulting firm that is working on the strategic plan?

Jones said it is: John Beranek*, he is in Sioux Falls. (*Intersections Consulting, Sioux Falls).

What is the status of hiring an attorney for the department?

Jones replied that the department is in the final stages of filling one position opening. Once that position is filled, the department will announce the other opening.

PRAXIS Cut Score Approval Request

Carla Leingang, Administrator, Office of Educator Certification, DOE, requested approval for cut scores for four state designated tests; Educational Leadership, School Superintendent Assessment, Elementary Education: Content Knowledge for Teaching Social Studies, and Teaching Reading: K-12.

Leingang gave a summary of the adoption process in South Dakota:

- Each time a test is regenerated Educational Testing Service (ETS), they ask the department if South Dakota is (1) interested in the test, and (2) would like to nominate educators to participate on the committees and standard setting studies.
- •If the test is currently used by the department, or stakeholders are interested in using it in the future, then ETS is notified the department would like to participate in all activities.
- •ETS notifies the department when nominations are being accepted for participation on the national advisory committees and standard setting studies.
 - •The department forwards information about the nomination process to: Deans of Education at all public and private universities so they can forward to interested faculty. DOE staff are asked to nominate K-12 educators.
 - •Following the multi-state standard setting study, the department invites all universities to send representatives to participate in the recommendation for the South Dakota cut score. All higher education faculty and K-12 educators who participated in the studies are also invited to attend this meeting. Participation can be in-person or by phone. All individuals present at this meeting can review the live test. A discussion is held regarding the recommended cut score set by the multi-state standard setting study and whether this cut score should be used or if it would be better to go lower or higher. This becomes the South Dakota recommended cut score. The South Dakota recommended cut score is presented to the South Dakota Board of Education Standards who approves the cut score or recommends a different cut score.

Leingang stated that there were four cut score panels:

- •Test 5412 Education Leadership Administration and Supervision
- Test 6991 School Superintendent Assessment
 - •Test 5206 Teaching Reading: K-12
 - •Test 7815 Elementary Education: Content Knowledge for Teaching Social Studies

 Leingang presented information and documentation for each cut score review. The review information included a description of the test, alignment to standards, use in South Dakota, the names of the South Dakota panelists, and the participants in the South Dakota cut score setting meeting.

Board Questions:

308	Since the International Dyslexia Associ	ation did have input on these scores, can we assume that
309	a teacher passing these tests would ha	ve some context on teaching a student with dyslexia?
310		
311	Leingang responded yes.	
312		
313	Can you explain why the test numbers	change?
314		
315		This is one group of tests and with the change with social
316	studies they may have wanted to chan	ge all the numbers.
317		
318		to adopt the cut scores as requested. Voice vote, all
319	•	
320		
321	-	nformation with the board on a recent accolade that she
322		
323		
324		te University in Kansas will be naming a new residence
325		
326		
327	NEXT MEETING: September 16, 2019, Holgate Middle School Theater, 2200 N Dakota Street,	
328	•	
329		
330	•	
331		
332		mp, to adjourn. Voice vote, all present voted in favor.
333		0.05
334		.0:05 a.m. Central time.
335		
336		
337		
338 339		Date:
340		Sacc.
ンマン	EXCOUNTED SCOTCION POLS	

341