

Public Comment - Proposed English Language Arts Standards

Name	City	Which group do you represent	Please use the space below to provide comment on the proposed South Dakota English Language Arts Standards. Please include the specific standard that you are referencing.
Carli Flemmer	Frederick	K-12 Educator	11-12.RI.10 - needs to replace "at least grades 9-10" to "at least grades 11-12"
			<p>I greatly appreciate the document that explains changes made to ELA standards! It will be helpful to have terms and skills more aligned through the grades. The focus on simplicity and clarity are great and made a positive difference.</p> <p>I have a few things to comment on, specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1.RF.3d - I'm struggling to make sense of what students are being asked to do here. What would this look like? It is not specific enough and will cause confusion. - 1.RF.3g - First grade has never had two-syllable words in their standards before... this is more of a 2nd and 3rd grade skill, developmentally. Is there a reason this was added to 1st grade? I am highly trained in all things literacy and strongly disagree with two-syllable words being part of our standards. EXPOSURE to this would be appropriate, but not the ability to break syllables and decode these words. - 1.L.2 - I had hoped this would be changed to a writing standard or foundational standard. It is great that it aligns all the way through 5th now, for writing conventions. At the district level in meetings we have had many many discussions about this standard not really fitting. It's caused some confusion in various areas when designing Pacing Guides, Proficiency Level Descriptors, and other literacy work we've done.
Kristi Tlustos	Rapid City	K-12 Educator	Thank you for considering our input!!!!
