DRAFT

The audio recording for this meeting is available on the South Dakota Boards and Commissions Portal at https://boardsandcommissions.sd.gov/Meetings.aspx?BoardID=106

MINUTES OF THE 261ST MEETING
OF THE WATER MANAGEMENT BOARD
FLOYD MATTHEW TRAINING CENTER
523 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE
PIERRE, SOUTH DAKOTA
OCTOBER 7, 2026

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL: Chairman Hutmacher called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m. Central Time. The roll was called, and a quorum was present.

The meeting was streaming live on SD.net, a service of South Dakota Public Broadcasting.

The following attended the meeting:

Board Members: Jim Hutmacher, Peggy Dixon, Kelly Hepler, and Rodney Freeman attended in-person. Leo Holzbauer attended via telephone. William Larson and Chad Comes were absent.

Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources (DANR): Mark Mayer, Director of the Office of Water, Adam Mathiowetz, Chief Engineer, Amanda Dewell, Nakaila Steen, Kim Drennon, Travis Newling, and Tyler Jensen, Water Rights Program.

Attorney General's Office: Emily Greco, Water Rights Program counsel and David McVey, Water Management Board counsel.

Legislative Oversight Committee: Representative Nicole Uhre-Balk.

Court Reporter: Carla Bachand, Capital Reporting Services.

Others: See attached attendance sheet.

ADOPT FINAL AGENDA: Amanda Dewell pointed out that "Set March 3-4, 2026, Meeting Dates and Location" should be "Set March 3-4, 2027, Meeting Dates and Location."

Motion by Freeman, seconded by Hepler, to adopt the final agenda, as amended. The motion carried unanimously.

CONFLICT DISCLOSURES AND REQUESTS FOR STATE BOARD WAIVERS: None.

APPROVE JULY 8, 2026, BOARD MINUTES: Motion by Freeman, seconded by Hepler, to approve the minutes from the July 8, 2026, Water Management Board meeting. The motion carried unanimously.

MARCH 3-4, 2027, MEETING LOCATION: The board set the March 3-4, 2027, Water Management Board meeting in the Matthew Environmental Training Center, 523 East Capitol, Pierre.

At its July meeting, the board set the December 2-3, 2026, meeting in the Matthew Environmental Training Center.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD IN ACCORDANCE WITH SDCL 1-25-1: There were no public comments.

UPDATE ON DANR ACTIVITIES: Chief Engineer Adam Mathiowetz introduced new Water Rights staff engineer Rory Jenkins.

Mr. Mathiowetz reported that since the July Water Management Board meeting the Water Rights Program has received several identical letters proclaiming a water emergency and demanding cessation of permitting for industrial, commercial, mining, and data centers in the Black Hills region, and requesting to maintain that moratorium until such time as water levels, both surface and groundwater, have returned such that the need for public water restrictions is completely eliminated. The department responded to the letters stating that the DANR and the Water Management Board follows the laws and rules that have been established by the legislature.

The Water Rights Program and other programs in DANR have also received numerous public records requests that have taken significant staff time to complete this past summer. Many of the requests seem to be looking for records related to various projects around the state, as well as the Missouri River. As per statute, the department has a policy to request a fee for those records that require significant staff time to complete.

The South Dakota Geological Survey (SDGS), which is a part of DANR, recently completed an observation well into the Pierre Shale in the vicinity of the Century Swine Facility in Edmonds County. That facility had two contested permit applications before the board. SDGS is still in the process of developing the well. SDGS did notice a lithologic change that was noted by the water well drillers. Mr. Mathiowetz said this is a fairly significant change, and SDGS believes it should be a productive well for DANR.

The in-person portion of the peer review by the Associated State Dam Safety officials of DANR's dam safety program is scheduled to start at the beginning of November. This is a three-person team from the association, all experienced dam safety engineers; some are state regulators and some are private engineering companies. The team has started by reviewing the laws and rules and standard operating procedures. They sent questionnaires to certain staff members who are involved. The team will conduct in-person interviews and review the Water Rights Program records and methodology with a goal of having a report on how the program can better operate and changes that may be needed. The report will be provided to DANR in early 2027.

In response to a question from Mr. Hepler regarding the drought, Mr. Mathiowetz stated that there has been some improvement. Central South Dakota along Highway 14 has improved. There has been some worsening and some improvement in the northeast since June. There is notable improvement in the southwest. It is still very dry, but considerably better than it had been earlier this year, although, in the long term, it is basically the same; most soil moisture is gone in many places.

DRAFT

STATUS AND REVIEW OF WATER RIGHTS LITIGATION: None.

ADMINISTER OATH TO DANR STAFF: The court reporter administered the oath to DANR staff who were present and intended to testify during the meeting.

SEVEN-YEAR REVIEW OF FUTURE USE PERMITS: A table listing the future use permits for a seven-year review was included in the packet the board members received prior to the meeting.

Amanda Dewell reported that certain entities such as water distribution systems, municipalities, rural water systems, and sanitary districts can reserve water for future needs. SDCL 46-5-38.1 requires that future use permits be reviewed by the Water Management Board every seven years, and it requires the permit holder to demonstrate a reasonable need to retain the future use permit.

Three future use permits were up for review. The Water Rights Program sent letters to each of the permit holders inquiring whether they wanted to retain the future use permit for an additional seven years.

Included in the board packet were letters received from the three future use permit holders requesting to retain their future use permits, the Chief Engineer's recommendations, and the Affidavits of Publication showing that the hearing was public noticed.

No petitions in opposition or comments were received in response to the public notices.

The Chief Engineer recommended that the board allow the following future use permits to remain in effect for an additional seven years for the amounts shown in the table.

No.	Name	Amount Remaining in Reserve	Source
512-2	City of Hot Springs	1,846 AF	ALQ
1492-2	City of Rapid City	28,880 AF	Missouri River
7393-3	Lincoln Pipestone Rural Water	614 AF	Big Sioux: Aurora

Motion by Hepler, seconded Freeman, to accept the Chief Engineer's recommendation that the three future use permits remain in effect for another seven years for amounts shown in the table. The motion carried unanimously.

CANCELLATION CONSIDERATIONS: A table listing the proposed cancellations, the notices of cancellation, and the Chief Engineer's recommendations were included in the packet the board members received prior to the meeting.

Ms. Dewell stated that the 18 water rights and water permits listed in the table were scheduled for cancellation. The owners were notified of the hearing and the reasons for cancellation. The department received no comments or letters in response to the notices of cancellation.

The Chief Engineer recommended cancellation of the following water rights and water permits for

the reasons listed:

Number	Previous Owner	Present Owner(s) and Other Persons Notified	Reason
DIVISION II WATER RIGHTS			
RT 293-2		GCC Dacotah Inc (dba Dacotah Cement)	Abandonment/Forfeiture
RT 294-2		GCC Dacotah Inc (dba Dacotah Cement)	Abandonment/Forfeiture
RT 881-2		Ryan Edwards	Abandonment/Forfeiture
RT 950-2		Malon Burtz	Abandonment/Forfeiture
RT 2130-2		Sandee & Tom Yordy	Abandonment
RT 2370-2	Mike Sweet	KW Holdings LLC c/o Kory Weisbeck	Abandonment
PE 2800-2	Moreland Farms Inc	Janice Tetherow	Non-Construction
DIVISION III WATER RIGHTS			
RT 2482-3		Allen Walth	Abandonment/Forfeiture
RT 5577-3		Curtis Foster	Abandonment/Forfeiture
RT 5579-3		Nels J Sorenson c/o Grant Sorenson	Abandonment/Forfeiture
PE 6363-3		Hillside Colony c/o Timothy Glanzer	Abandonment/Forfeiture
PE 6468-3		City of Alpena c/o Finance Officer	Abandonment/Forfeiture
PE 6692-3		Hillside Colony c/o Timothy Glanzer	Abandonment/Forfeiture
PE 6789-3		Allen & Rebecca Walth	Non-Construction
PE 7429-3		Sorensen Family Farm LLC c/o Grant Sorensen	Abandonment/Forfeiture
PE 7846A-3		Marvin Czech	Non-Construction
PE 8165-3	Todd Swenson	Silver Lake Colony c/o John Grosz	Non-Construction
PE 8886-3		Pronghorn Land LLC c/o Brian Top	Abandonment

Mr. Hepler asked why it takes so long to cancel some of the permits that haven't been used for over a decade.

Ms. Dewell stated that there are many reasons, but the primary reason is that there are only three seasonal staff inspectors. They are all retired engineers who had previously been employed by the Water Rights Program. They are limited in their availability, the distance that they are able to go, and sometimes it takes a while for staff to catch up on those inspections. Another reason is that

reporting was not mandatory when a lot of the older permits and water rights were issued for irrigation and non-irrigation, so many of the permits and water rights existed without the Water Rights Program knowing the status of them. When the permit holders were required to start reporting or a transfer of ownership is submitted to the Water Rights Program, staff then becomes aware of them.

Motion by Dixon, seconded by Hepler, to accept the Chief Engineer's recommendations for cancellation of the 18 water rights and water permits for the reasons listed in the table. The motion carried unanimously.

CONSIDER FUTURE USE PERMIT APPLICATION NO. 9030-3, MID-DAKOTA RURAL WATER SYSTEM: Nakaila Steen presented her report on the application.

Future Use Permit Application No. 9030-3 proposes to appropriate and reserve 9,999 acre-feet of water annually from the natural flows of the Missouri River. The proposed withdrawal site is near an existing intake authorized by Water Right No. 7245-3 located in Hughes County approximately two miles upstream of the Oahe Dam, located southeast of Spring Creek. The water is proposed to be reserved for future water supply serving the water system connections in several counties in eastern South Dakota. Approval of this future use application would not authorize the construction of works for placement of water to beneficial use.

Mid-Dakota Rural Water System is an entity that may reserve water for future use. An engineering report completed by Bartlett and West Inc. was submitted with the application for a preliminary design plan for a water treatment plant expansion from 13.5 million gallons per day (MGD) to a capacity of 20 MGD. The treatment plant was last updated in 2008, and the design plan analyzed future growth in central South Dakota through 2050. Mid-Dakota currently holds Water Right No. 7245-3, which authorizes a diversion rate of 24.5 cubic feet of water per second (cfs) from the Missouri River with an annual volume limit of 9,999 acre-feet. The engineer report indicated the water treatment expansion is likely to cause exceedance of the current volume of water authorized.

There were no questions from the board.

Motion by Freeman, seconded by Hepler, to approve Future Use Water Permit Application No. 9030-3, Mid-Dakota Rural Water System subject to the qualifications set forth by the Chief Engineer. A roll call vote was taken, and the motion carried unanimously.

NEW WATER PERMIT APPLICATIONS: The pertinent qualifications attached to approved water permit applications throughout the hearings are listed below:

Well Interference Qualification
The well(s) approved under this permit will be located near domestic wells and other wells which may obtain water from the same aquifer. The well owner under this Permit shall control withdrawals so there is not a reduction of needed water supplies in adequate domestic wells or in adequate wells having prior water rights.

Well Construction Rule Qualification
The wells authorized by Permit No. ____ shall be constructed by a licensed well driller and construction of the well and installation of the pump shall comply with Water Management board Well Construction Rules, Chapter 74:02:04 with the well casing pressure grouted (bottom to top) pursuant to Section 74:02:04:28.

Irrigation Water Use Questionnaire Qualification
This permit is approved subject to the irrigation water use questionnaire being submitted each year.

Low Flow Qualification
Low flows as needed for downstream domestic use, including livestock water and prior water rights must be bypassed.

CONSIDER WATER PERMIT APPLICATION NO. 9039-3, FRANK KRALICEK JR.: Mr. Mathiowetz reported that the application is being presented to the board because applications for reinstatement are not particularly common, and SDCL 46-2A-8.1 states that the authority to review this type of application falls to the Water Management Board.

This application to reinstate has been made within three years of expiration of the original construction completion date, and unappropriated water from this aquifer is available. A new priority date would be assigned to this application if it were approved by the board.

Application No. 9039-3 proposes to reinstate Permit No. 8483-3, pursuant to SDCL 46-2A-8.1.

Permit No. 8483-3 was originally approved on April 5, 2021, with all construction to be completed by April 5, 2026. It authorized the diversion of 2.22 cfs of water from two wells to be completed into the Lower James Missouri Aquifer for the irrigation of 400 acres located in Yankton County approximately five miles northwest of Yankton, SD.

The technical report was prepared by Water Rights staff engineer Tyler Jensen. The report concluded the unappropriated water is available for this application and development of the application would not unlawfully impair adequate wells for existing water rights/permits and domestic uses.

Mr. Mathiowetz recommended reinstatement of the permit with the following qualifications:

1. The wells approved under Water Permit No. 9039-3 are located near domestic wells and other wells which may obtain water from the same aquifer. The well owner, under this Permit must control withdrawals so there is not a reduction of needed water supplies in adequate domestic wells or in adequate wells having prior water rights.

2. The proposed wells authorized by Permit No. 9039-3 must be constructed by a licensed well driller and construction of the well and installation of the pump must comply with Water Management Board Well Construction Rules, Chapter 74:02:04 with the well casing pressure grouted (bottom to top) pursuant to Section 74:02:04:28.

3. This Permit is approved subject to the irrigation water use questionnaire being submitted each year.

In response to a question from Chairman Hutmacher, Mr. Mathiowetz stated that if reinstatement of the permit is approved, the five-year construction period starts over again.

Motion by Hepler, seconded by Freeman to approve Water Permit Application No. 9039-3, reinstating Permit No. 8483-3, subject to the qualifications set forth by the Chief Engineer. A roll call vote was taken, and the motion carried unanimously.

CONSIDER ADDITION OF QUALIFICATION NO. 4 TO PERMIT NO. 2907-2, BRAD AND MARLENE PISHA: Pursuant to SDCL 46-5-6, in the issuance of permits to appropriate water for irrigation the amount allowed may not be in excess of the rate of 1.0 cfs for each 70 acres, or the equivalent thereof, and the volume of water diverted for use may not exceed two acre-feet per acre, delivered on the land for a specified time each year.

The Water Management Board may allow a greater diversion, in volume or rate or both, if the method of irrigation, any time constraints on diversion of water, or the type of soil requires. However, no annual volume may be greater than three acre-feet per acre delivered to the land.

Ms. Dewell stated that due to the way a center pivot operates, a higher pump rate is often required to operate the system in the most economical way. If the flow rate is too low the pressure drops, droplets become too fine, evaporation and drift losses increase, and more kilowatt hours are used per gallon of water delivered. This means more water is required to achieve the same effective irrigation and the cost per unit of water being applied is greater.

Water Permit No. 2907-2 was submitted as an application to increase the pump rate authorized by an existing water right by an additional 2.0 cfs. Water Right No. 2509-2 authorized 2.0 cfs to be divided between multiple pivot systems irrigating a total of 270 acres. The systems being used to irrigate No. 2509-2 operate best at 2.0 cfs each, approximately 900 gpm, which is within the standards for a larger set of pivot systems.

Prior to the approval of Permit No. 2907-2, the systems either had to run at a lower rate or operate on a rotation touching on the time constraints set out in SDCL 46-5-6. The approval of Permit No. 2907-2 resulted in the combined rate of 4.0 cfs for the irrigation of 270 acres, which did exceed the statutory limit upon cfs for 70 acres. However, Water Rights staff believe the rules for the exceptions under the Codified Law have been met.

Ms. Dewell stated that the statutory limit for 270 acres would have been 3.86 cfs, and the permit was approved for 4.0 cfs. Typically, a qualification is placed on the permit saying that we understand these type of irrigation systems need a little more water to operate the way they are intended to operate. Staff noticed that qualification was not placed on this permit.

The Chief Engineer recommended that the board add the following qualification to Permit No. 2907-2:

4. Pursuant to SDCL 46-5-6 which allows a greater diversion rate if the method of irrigation, time constraints, or type of soils so requires, this Permit and Water Right No. 2509-2 authorize a combined, maximum diversion rate of 4.0 cfs for the irrigation of a total of 270 acres with an annual volume not to exceed 2.0 acre-feet of water per acre per year.

Motion by Freeman, seconded by Helper, to accept the Chief Engineer's recommendation of adding Qualification No. 4 to Water Permit No. 2907-2, as written above. A roll call vote was taken, and the motion carried unanimously.

CONSIDER WATER PERMIT APPLICATION NO. 9008-3, BRIAN GILBERT: The court reporter prepared a transcript was for this hearing. A copy of the transcript may be obtained by contacting Carla Bachand, Capital Reporting Services, PO Box 903, Pierre SD 57501, telephone number (605) 222-4235, or email pcbachand@pie.midco.net.

The audio recording of the hearing is available on the Boards and Commissions Portal at https://boardsandcommissions.sd.gov/Meetings.aspx?BoardID=106.

Please refer to the transcript or the audio recording for the full proceeding.

Chairman Hutmacher opened the hearing at 11:15 a.m.

Emily Greco, Assistant Attorney General, appeared on behalf of the Chief Engineer and the Water Rights Program.

Joseph Dylla and Brock Brown, Boyce Law Firm, appeared on behalf of Brian Gilbert.

The petitioners, Cathy Jessen, Lynail Larson, and Darin Binger appeared pro se.

The purpose of the hearing was to consider Water Permit Application No. 9008-3 submitted by Brian Gilbert to appropriate a combined total of up to 3.57 cfs from two wells, both approximately 100 feet deep, to be completed into the Tulare: Western Spink-Hitchcock aquifer for irrigation of 300 acres. The priority date of this application is December 8, 2025. This site is located in Spink County approximately seven miles west of Tulare, South Dakota.

Witnesses administered the oath and testifying on behalf of the DANR Water Rights Program:

Kim Drennon, Staff Engineer
Adam Mathiowetz, Chief Engineer

Exhibits offered by the Water Rights Program and admitted into the record:

Exhibit 1 – Administrative record for Water Permit Application No. 9008-3
Exhibit 2 – Kim Drennon curriculum vitae
Exhibit 3 – Adam Mathiowetz curriculum vitae

Witness administered the oath and testifying on behalf of the applicant:

Brian Gilbert, applicant

No exhibits were offered by the applicant.

Pro se intervenor Cathy Jessen testified on behalf of herself.

Following direct examination, cross-examination, redirect, questions from the board members, and closing arguments, Chairman Hutmacher requested board action.

Motion by Freeman, seconded by Dixon, to approve Water Permit Application No. 9008-3, Brian Gilbert, subject to the following qualifications set forth by the Chief Engineer:

1. The wells approved under Water Permit No. 9008-3 are located near domestic wells and other wells which may obtain water from the same aquifer. The well owner, under this Permit must control withdrawals so there is not a reduction of needed water supplies in adequate domestic wells or in adequate wells having prior water rights.

2. The proposed wells authorized by Permit No. 9008-3 must be constructed by a licensed well driller and construction of the well and installation of the pump must comply with Water Management Board Well Construction Rules, Chapter 74:02:04 with the well casing pressure grouted (bottom to top) pursuant to Section 74:02:04:28.

3. This Permit is approved subject to the irrigation water use questionnaire being submitted each year.

A roll call vote was taken, and the motion carried unanimously.

Ms. Greco will prepare proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Final Decision, which are due November 6, 2026. Objections to the proposed Findings are due by November 20, 2026.

Chairman Hutmacher closed the hearing at 12:20 p.m.

CONSIDER FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND FINAL DECISION IN THE MATTER OF WATER PERMIT APPLICATION NO. 8990-3, CITY OF MILBANK: Mr. McVey presented the final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Final Decision, and he recommended board approval.

Motion by Freeman, seconded by Hepler, to adopt the Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Final Decisions in the matter of Water Permit Application No. 8990-3, City of Milbank, as presented. A roll call vote was taken, and the motion carried unanimously.

CONSIDER FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND FINAL DECISION IN THE MATTER OF WATER PERMIT APPLICATION NO. 8982-3, CENTURY SWINE: Mr. McVey presented the final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Final Decision, and he recommended board approval.

DRAFT

Motion by Freeman, seconded by Dixon, to adopt the Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Final Decisions in the matter of Water Permit Application No. 8982-3, Century Swine, LLC, as presented. A roll call vote was taken, and the motion carried unanimously.

ANNUAL REVIEW OF OPEN MEETING LAWS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SDCL 1-25-13: Prior to the meeting, the board members were provided with a copy of the brochure "Conducting the Public's Business in Public" revised in 2026 as published on the Attorney General's website https://atg.sd.gov/docs/July%202025OpenMeetingsLaw.pdf.

David McVey, Assistant Attorney General, explained the open meeting laws.

Chairman Hutmacher acknowledged that the board received the annual review of the open meeting laws.

Mr. McVey announced that he resigned from the Attorney General's Office.

ADJOURN: Motion by Freeman, seconded by Hepler, to adjourn. The motion carried unanimously.

A court reporter was present, and a transcript of the proceedings may be obtained by contacting Carla Bachand, Capital Reporting Services, PO Box 903, Pierre SD 57501, telephone number (605) 222-4235.

An audio recording of the meeting is available on the South Dakota Boards and Commissions Portal at https://boardsandcommissions.sd.gov/Meetings.aspx?BoardID=106.

Approved December 3, 2026.

_____
Water Management Board

ATTENDANCE SHEET
WATER MANAGEMENT BOARD
Date 10/07/2024

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	MAILING ADDRESS	CITY, STATE & ZIP	ITEM OF INTEREST
Kelly Hepler	28153 200th St	Pierre SD	Board member
Nakoma Stein		RC, SD	WR Staff
Ron Duvall	115 S Adam	Pierre SD	just because
Cathy Jessen	18250 377th Ave	Tulare. S.D.	water Rights
Lynail Larson	1105 E 6th Ave.	Redfield, S D	water Rights
Dan Bi	38535 174th St.	Redfield, SD	Water Rights
Rosemary Tubandt	106 2nd Ave	Tulare, SD	Water Rights
Richard Tubandt	106 2nd Ave	TULARE, SD	WATER RIGHTS
Joseph Dylla	5508 E Daybreak Cir	Sioux Falls, SD	Water Rights
Brock Brown	7912 E 44th St. Unit 3	Sioux Falls SD	Water Rights
Brian Gilbert	1808 E Edgewood Rd	Sioux Falls SD	Water Rights
Rep. Nicole Uhre-Balk			Leg. oversight
Jason Overby			Lincoln Pipestone RWS

Qualifications:
wi - well interference
wcr -well construction rules
iq - irrigation questionnaire
lf - low flow

WATER MANAGEMENT BOARD MEETING
October 7, 2026

Unopposed New Water Permit Applications Issued Based on the Chief Engineer Recommendations

No.	Name	Address	County	Amount	Use	Source	Qualifications
FC39-3	Upper Minnesota River Watershed District	Ortonville MN	GT		Floor Control	Whetstone River/Minnesota River	wi, 6 special
2050-1	Venekamps LLC	Rapid City	MD	58.6 AF	WDS	1 well – Inyan Kara	wi, 4 special
2879A-2	Weinreis Brothers	Edgemont	FR	0.50 cfs	IRR(75 acres)	1 well – Madison	wi, wcr, iq, 1 special
2906-2	Black Hills Electric Coop	Custer	CU	30 AF	COM	1 well – Inyan Kara	wi, 3 special
2907-2	Brad & Marlene Pisha	Tuthill	BT	2.0 cfs	IRR(270 acres)	2 wells – Ogallala	wi, wcr, iq
2909-2	Weinreis Brothers	Edgemont	FR	275 AF	COM	1 well – Madison	wi, wcr, 6 special
2910-2	Ridgeview Water System	Oral	PE	2.3 AF	RWS/WDS	1 well – Inyan Kara	wi, 4 special
4817A-3	South Lincoln RWS	Beresford	LN	No Add'l	RWS	1 well - Dakota	5 special
8996-3	Wayne or Scott Binger	Tulare	SP	2.0 cfs	IRR(140 acres)	2 wells – Tulare: Western Spink Hitchcock	wi, wcr, iq
8997-3	Wayne or Scott Binger	Tulare	SP	2.0 cfs	IRR(140 acres)	2 wells – Tulare: Western Spink Hitchcock	wi, wcr, iq
8998-3	Terry McClain	Hitchcock	SP	2.0 cfs	IRR(180 acres)	1 well – Tulare: Western Spink Hitchcock	wi, wcr, iq
9000-3	Matthew Van Buskirk	Hitchcock	BD	1.78 cfs	IRR(136 acres)	1 well – Tulare: Western Spink Hitchcock	wi, wcr, iq
9001-3	Matthew Van Buskirk	Hitchcock	SP	1.78 cfs	IRR(136 acres)	1 well – Tulare: Western Spink Hitchcock	wi, wcr, iq
9002-3	Matthew Van Buskirk	Hitchcock	SP	1.78 cfs	IRR(136 acres)	1 well – Tulare: Western Spink Hitchcock	wi, wcr, iq
9003-3	Matthew Van Buskirk	Hitchcock	SP	3.56 cfs	IRR(272 acres)	2 wells – Tulare: Western Spink Hitchcock	wi, wcr, iq
9004-3	Matthew Van Buskirk	Hitchcock	BD	1.78 cfs	IRR(136 acres)	1 well – Tulare: Western Spink Hitchcock	wi, wcr, iq
9005-3	Matthew Van Buskirk	Hitchcock	BD	1.78 cfs	IRR(136 acres)	1 well – Tulare: Western Spink Hitchcock	wi, wcr, iq
9006-3	Timothy Masat	Redfield	SP	1.78 cfs	IRR(204 acres)	2 wells – Tulare: Western Spink Hitchcock	wi, wcr, iq
9007-3	Jon Gilbert	Hitchcock	SP	3.56 cfs	IRR(280 acres)	2 wells – Tulare: Western Spink Hitchcock	wi, wcr, iq
9010-3	Lenny Peterson	Hitchcock	SP	1.78 cfs	IRR(280 acres)	2 wells – Tulare: Western Spink Hitchcock	wi, wcr, iq

9011-3	Lenny Peterson	Hitchcock	SP	1.78 cfs	IRR(160 acres)	1 well – Tulare: Western Spink Hitchcock	wi, wcr, iq
9032-3	Wilbur Ellis	Tulare	SP	3 AF	COM	1 well – Dakota	wi, 3 special
9033-3	Joseph Hardin	Brookings	BG	0.56 cfs	IRR(50 acres)	1 well – Big Sioux: Aurora	wi, wcr, iq
9034-3	Richard & Konny Lacey	Brandon	CA	No Add'l	IRR(135 acres)	1 well – Grand	wi, wcr, iq
9035-3	James or Angela Czech	Watertown	HM	1.78 cfs	IRR(152 acres)	Big Sioux River	lf, iq, 3 special
9037-3	Hoffman Farms	Bowdle	WL	1.78 cfs	IRR(140 acres)	1 well – Bowdle: Edmunds	wi, wcr, iq, 1 special
9040-3	Freeman School Dist.	Freeman	HT	0.111 cfs	IRR(6 acres)	1 well – Niobrara	wi, wcr, iq
9043-3	Great Bend, LLC.	Pipestone	MN	86 AF	COM	3 wells – Dakota	wi, wcr, 5 special
9045-3	Grey Zabel	Pierre	WL	2.00 cfs	IRR(159 acres)	1 well – Selby	wi, wcr, iq

Future Use Reviews

No.	Name	Address	County	Amount Remaining in Reserve	Use	Source	Qualifications
512-2	City of Hot Springs	Hot Springs	FR	1,846 AF	Future	Alluvium Fall River	1 special
1492-2	City of Rapid City	Rapid City	ST	28,880 AF	Future	Missouri River	2 special
7393-3	Lincoln Pipestone Rural Water	Lake Benton	MN	614 AF	Future	Big Sioux: Aurora	3 special