

Attachment #4

From: Tammie Ridgway <trranchexpress@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2019 8:47 AM
To: Bergquist, Darin <Darin.Bergquist@state.sd.us>
Subject: [EXT] Proposed Speed Reduction Hwy 50 and 37 jct.

Hello,

I am writing about the proposed speed reduction on hwy 50 and 37 jct. **My husband and I do not want this.**

Why are you even considering this? Hwy 50 from Yankton to the interstate is a divided highway with a speed limit of 70 mph. You do not slow down traffic for every intersection, so why do it for hwy 50 and 37 jct. There are only 2 places speed is reduced, at Meckling and Vermillion. Speed has already been reduced at Tabor and Tyndall. I believe this good. **So DO NOT reduce the speed limit on hwy 50 and 37 jct.**

Thanks for you time,

Tammie Ridgway

From: Charles Cvrk <charlescvrk@aol.com>

Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2019 8:57 AM

To: Bergquist, Darin <Darin.Bergquist@state.sd.us>

Subject: [EXT] Proposed State HWY speed change hwy 50 west of Tyndall

Like the change at Tabor, no empirical evidence has been produced to factually state the case for a reduction in speed.

If you were truly serious about safety crossing route 50 at Tyndall and at the junction of 37, you would install traffic sensitive/detecting stop lights. That would truly be a safe alternative.

Slowing the speed limit is like the Democrats did in the 70s on our nation's highways. A feel-good measure that caused more problems and saved no energy, as well as raising a nation of scofflaws because the speed in many areas was unreasonably slow.

Let's not make the same mistake here... it takes long enough as it is to get from point A to point B in SD.

Charles Cvrk
Springfield