SD EForm - 2068 V2	2	Attachment #2 Page 1 of 4
DOT	TRANSPORTATION LOAN REQUEST	By using this form you are agreeing to our terms of use. Please read:
	APPLICANT INFORMATION	
LOAN TYPE	APPLICANT	
SIB/FEDERAL AID	O City	
STBGP ADVANCE	 County/Township 	

STBGP ADVANCE	County/Township			
STATE HIGHWAY FUND LOAN	O Other (Specify)			
APPLICANT NAME				SUBMITTED
Lincoln County			6/13/	
PROJECT NAME			PROJE	
85th Street and Interstate 29 Interch		OTATE	5700	
APPLICANT MAILING ADDRESS 104 N. Main Street	CITY Canton	STATE SD		ZIP CODE 57013
CONTACT PERSON	TITLE		рно	DNE NO
Steve Rasmussen	Commission Administrative (Officer		05) 764-6611
CONTACT PERSON'S ADDRESS	CITY	STATE		ZIP CODE
104 N. Main Street	Canton	SD		57013
E-MAIL ADDRESS				
srasmussen@lincolncountysd.org				
	PROJECT DESCRIPTION			
ROUTE NAME/FACILITY 85th Street and Interstate 29 Interch	ange			
LOCATION				
85th Street and Interstate 29			1	
SDDOT REGION			CITY	- F - U -
Mitchell	Lincoln		Siou	(Falls
TYPE OF PROJECT I HIGHWA	Y OTHER (Specify):			
IS THIS PROJECT ELIGIBLE FOR FEDERAL AID)? • Yes	No		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION (Provide brief descripti	on here and more detail in Attachment A.)		
This Project involves the installation street system and provide for system property planned to meet the health the area.	of an interchange with 85th Sin connectivity. This Project fac	treet ar ilitates	better	access to adjacent real
PROJECT BENEFITS (Provide brief description h promotes economic development.)	ere and more detail in Attachment B. i.e.	, Importar	nce of pro	oject to community, how it
This Project will rapidly expand ecor	•		·	
APPLICANT RISK ASSESSMENT (What impact v				
Lincoln County is not able to financia	ally participate in the Project w	ithout a	accept	able loan terms.
DOES THE PROJECT HAVE THE SUPPORT OF BY THE PROJECT? (Please include Resolution/L		HAT ARE	IMPAC	ED 💽 Yes 🔘 No
DOES THE PROJECT HAVE THE SUPPORT OF (e.g. County Road Commission, City Street Admi		/ER THE	FACILIT	Y? 💿 Yes 🔵 No
IS THE PROJECT WITHIN A METROPOLITAN P If yes, is the Project on an approved MPO Tra If No, is the Project on an approved State Trar	nsportation Improvement Plan (TIP)?	NDARY?		 Yes No Yes No Yes No
IS THE PROJECT ON A STATE HIGHWAY? If yes, is it on the STIP?				Yes No Yes No

IS THE PROJECT ON A STATE HIGHWAY?	
If yes, is it on the STIP?	

SD EForm - 2068 V2

PROJECT FINANCING

Attachment #2

Page 2 of 4

PROJECT	FINANCING			
PROJECT STATUS (Please explain current status of the project, e.g. plar				
Lincoln County's financial participation in this Project				
ESTIMATE PROJECT CONSTRUCTION TIMELINE 2-Year Project estimated to begin in Spring 2025	START DAT		END DAT	⊧ <. 2-Year Project
TOTAL PROJECT COST	AMOUNT R		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
\$66.409 million	\$15 milli	on		
DESCRIBE ANY COSTS THAT MAY NOT BE ELIGIBLE Previous w	ork comple	ted to include	e design & er	vironmental wor
PROPOSED PROJECT FINANCING SOURCES				
REQUESTED AMOUNT	\$,000,000.00	
OTHER FEDERAL AID	\$,000,000.00	
ASSESSMENTS	\$		0.00	
USER PAYMENTS/FEES			0.00	
LOCAL FUNDS				
OTHER (Specify) See Attachment C.	\$,409,000.00	
	\$	66	,409,000.00	
PROPOSED PROJECT FINANCING USES PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING	\$		0.00	
			0.00	
DESIGN			0.00	
RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION	\$ \$,054,000.00	
CONSTRUCTION			· · ·	
ENVIRONMENTAL	\$			
OTHER				
ΤΟΤΑΙ	\$	54	,054,000.00	
PROPOSED REPAYMENT TERMS See Attachment D.		START DATE	Upon comp	etion of Project
(e.g. 2 year loan amatorized over 5 years)				ŗ
REPAYMENT SOURCE FOR REQUEST Proposed County Tax Increment Financing (TIF)	\$	1	5,000,000.00	
Any shortfall in annual minimum payments via TIF	\$		0.00	
will be covered by STBGP funds, then general fund.	\$		0.00	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_ \$	1	5,000,000.00	
CHE	CKLIST			
CHECK ALL OF THE FOLLOWING ITEMS THAT ARE ATTACHED:				
✓ ATTACHMENT A - Description of Proposed Project	🖌 АТТАСН	MENT B - Benefi	ts of Proposed Pro	oject
	FICATION		·	
SIGNATURE	TITLE			DATE
				w process to:

South Dakota Department of Transportation Division of Finance and Management Laura Blotske, Transportation Specialist Becker-Hansen Building 700 E. Broadway Ave. Pierre, SD 57501

Attachment #2

Page 3 of 4

ATTACHMENT A: DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED PROJECT

In your description, include an explanation of the problem that this project is designed to address, other funding alternatives you've explored, and how your application meets the requirement of "funding of last resort". Please include a map. Attach additional pages if necessary.

Quoted text below taken from our FY 2020 INFRA Grant Application - I-29/85th Street Interchange Project.

EXPLANATION: The purpose of this Project is to improve local access, mobility, and future traffic operations while supporting planned development near the intersection of I-29 and the planned 85th Street corridor. To achieve this, the Project would comply with current transportation and land use plans in the area, provide system linkages and access to developed and undeveloped lands in the study area, and maintain acceptable levels of traffic operations throughout the local transportation network over the expected lifetime of the Project. The proposed Project will address the following needs:

"Improve Access and Mobility – The proposed Project is needed to improve roadway access and mobility in the project study area by:

- (1) Providing new access to the Interstate highway system that meets access spacing standards for urbanized areas as the study area becomes developed.
- (2) Guiding local and regional travelers to use the appropriate local and regional transportation facilities (existing and planned) with efficient routes for the purpose of their trips.

Meet Transportation System Demands – The proposed project is needed to provide a transportation solution that will be consistent with the Sioux Falls MPO's long-range transportation plan by:

- (1) Providing needed infrastructure to serve projected increases in traffic volumes in the study area and relieve expected future traffic congestion at other interchanges located within and near the study area.
- (2) Ensure adequate levels of service (Level of service rating C or better as defined in the Highway Capacity Manual) are maintained throughout the regional highway network (i.e. I-29 at CR106, I-29 at 41st Street or I-229 at Louise Avenue) under projected future traffic conditions.

Achieve Planned Growth and Development – Meets the transportation needs of future development in the study area as identified by planning agencies and municipalities with jurisdiction in the planning area by:

- (1) Providing transportation infrastructure that is consistent with the development needs identified in local and regional plans, (i.e. access and operations discussed in previous needs).
- (2) Addressing network inefficiencies in access, mobility, and system demand (as defined by the previous project needs).
- (3) Providing economic and user benefits that would be lost under future inefficient traffic conditions such as reduced user costs and travel time."

FUNDING: Lincoln County's financial participation in this Project is conditional per our Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with SDDOT executed on December 8, 2014. The MOU states in part, that the "County's financial participation in the interstate interchange project is contingent upon it securing appropriate financing that is in accordance with the requirements of SDCL Chapter 7-21 (County Budget and Appropriations) in addition to any other applicable statutory requirements. County agrees to diligently and in good faith endeavor to procure such financing through the State Infrastructure Bank (SIB) and/or other infrastructure funding mechanisms as County deems necessary and prudent." See Attachment E.

The County does not have adequate funds to cover a \$15 million financial commitment for this Project upon completion. And there are not suitable private lending alternatives within this higher interest rate environment. The County is applying for SIB/Federal Aid financing with SDDOT as a funding of last resort. We are seeking a ten-year amortized loan at a 1.5% rate compounded annually. The County is proposing Tax Increment Financing (TIF) as the source of annual loan repayment with all TIF increment revenue directed toward retiring the debt within ten years based on current projections. The County requests SDDOT continue to apply our STBGP annual allocation (of \$0.40M) to our current STBGP escrow balance (of \$1.3M) until our first payment is due. The County's escrow balance, our annual allocation, and then our general fund, will be the source of funds to cover any shortfall in annual loan repayments until TIF increment revenue fully offsets it.

Project Description

The proposed project includes the construction of a new Interstate System highway interchange on I-29 at 85th Street/270th Street (future 85th Street), including approach roadways, bridges and associated ramps; a northbound I-29/I-229 auxiliary lane between the new interchange and the I-229 northbound Exit 1 ramp (Louise Avenue), and the reconstruction of the existing northbound I-229 Exit Ramp 1 (Louise Avenue). Figure 1 illustrates the components of the proposed project.



Figure 1 – Proposed Project

Page 4 of 4

ATTACHMENT B: BENEFITS OF PROPOSED PROJECT

Discuss how Transportation Loan financing will help attract new public/private investment, reduce project costs and accelerate project completion. Identify other project benefits, e.g. access, mobility, economic, preservation, environmental. Attach additional pages if necessary.

Quoted text below taken from our FY 2020 INFRA Grant Application - I-29/85th Street Interchange Project.

ATTRACTING NEW PUBLIC/PRIVATE INVESTMENT: The I-29/85th Street Interchange Project is currently programmed for construction in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Plan (STIP), Sioux Falls MPO Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP), Go Sioux Falls MPO 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), and SECOG 2021-2024 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) at a current cost of approximately \$66.409 million. The I-29/85th Street Interchange Project involves a comprehensive list of willing financial contributors to include federal, state (South Dakota), county (Lincoln), cities (Sioux Falls and Tea), and private (85th Street Business District Joint Venture) entities. The universal support of this Project by both public and private entities is a testament to both its need and value. However, all of these stakeholders will need to meet their financial commitments for this Project to proceed. Lincoln County cannot currently meet its \$15 million financial commitment to this Project absent SIB/Federal Aid financing on sustainable terms.

REDUCE PROJECT COSTS: "The cost-effectiveness of the improvements described in the I-29/85th Street Interchange application was measured through a complete Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA) to monetize, as thoroughly as possible, benefits generated under the economic vitality component defined in the INFRA program and compare them against the project's costs. As per the USDOT BCA guidance, benefits and costs were monetized in 2018 Dollars. The analysis shows that the project results in a net benefit to society with a benefit-cost ratio of 3.71. In other words, for each dollar spent in project costs, approximately \$3.71 worth of benefits will be generated by the improvements."

ACCELERATE PROJECT COMPLETION: "Proposed commercial and residential development surrounding I-29 and 85th Street would create a new regional employment center and residential community that would lack direct access to the regional transportation network with no interstate access provided within a mile in any direction. Destinations west of I-29 in the study area are required to travel north to 41st Street interchange or south to the Tea interchange to access I-29. Drivers on the west side of I-29 can also access the Interstate System by crossing the interstate via the 41st Street, 49th Street (overpass), 57th Street (overpass) or CR106 crossings of I-29, and then access I-229 via the Louise Avenue interchange. The construction of an interchange would save drivers over one half mile of travel in many cases for trips between the interstate area and land proposed for new development. For trips between the east and west sides of I-29, the interchange would save drivers two or more miles of travel per trip in many cases. In addition to shortening trip distances, the interchange would reroute traffic off of surrounding roadways, which are expected to fail operationally under future conditions without additional improvements."

OTHER PROJECT BENEFITS: "(1) The construction of the interchange will (a) improve projected traffic operations to level of service C or better for all segments and intersections along I-29 in the project area; (b) provide the adequate access spacing for an urbanized area of one mile to meet safety and operations standards; and (c) achieve planned growth in the area by providing sufficient access to the regional transportation network and maintaining acceptable levels of service. (2) The auxiliary lane will address conflicts caused by overlapping between I-29 exit ramp and I-229 entrance ramp. (3) The I-229 exit ramp reconstruction will improve operations between the local and regional transportation network."

PRINT FOR MAILING



ATTACHMENT C

Entity	Amount
SDDOT	\$ 6,300,000.00
City of Sioux Falls	\$ 9,109,000.00
City of Tea	\$ 2,000,000.00
Joint Venture	\$ 4,000,000.00
Total	\$ 21,409,000.00

ATTACHMENT D



MyCalculators.com

Amortization Schedule

\$ 15,000,000.00 Loan with Annual Payments 1.5% Interest Rate Compounded Annually Annual Payment of \$ 1,626,512.67 for 10 Years

<u>Year</u>	<u>Payment</u>	<u>Principal Paid</u>	<u>Interest Paid</u>	<u>Remaining Balance</u>
2027	\$ 1,626,512.67	\$ 1,401,512.67	\$ 225,000.00	\$ 13,598,487.33
2028	\$ 1,626,512.67	\$ 1,422,535.36	\$ 203,977.31	\$ 12,175,951.97
2029	\$ 1,626,512.67	\$ 1,443,873.39	\$ 182,639.28	\$ 10,732,078.58
2030	\$ 1,626,512.67	\$ 1,465,531.49	\$ 160,981.18	\$ 9,266,547.09
2031	\$ 1,626,512.67	\$ 1,487,514.46	\$ 138,998.21	\$ 7,779,032.63
2032	\$ 1,626,512.67	\$ 1,509,827.18	\$ 116,685.49	\$ 6,269,205.45
2033	\$ 1,626,512.67	\$ 1,532,474.59	\$ 94,038.08	\$ 4,736,730.86
2034	\$ 1,626,512.67	\$ 1,555,461.71	\$ 71,050.96	\$ 3,181,269.15
2035	\$ 1,626,512.67	\$ 1,578,793.63	\$ 47,719.04	\$ 1,602,475.52
2036	\$ 1,626,512.65	\$ 1,602,475.52	\$ 24,037.13	\$.0

Totals

\$ 16,265,126.68

\$ 15,000,000.00

\$ 1,265,126.68

www.MyCalculators.com

Attachment #2

910950

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND LINCOLN COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA

1. PARTIES

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is entered into by and between the State of South Dakota, acting by and through the South Dakota Department of Transportation (hereinafter "STATE"), and Lincoln County, South Dakota (hereinafter "COUNTY").

WHEREAS, the parties recognize the continuing growth and economic development that is occurring in the vicinity of the Interstate 29 corridor in Minnehaha and Lincoln counties, South Dakota, which growth and development can reasonably be expected to continue into the foreseeable future, increasing the demands on transportation facilities for the movement of people, goods and services; and,

WHEREAS, the parties further recognize the pivotal role the development and maintenance of a safe, efficient and functional transportation infrastructure system plays in the economic development of property, and the benefits to be derived from proposed improvements now therefore,

2. PURPOSE

The purpose of this MOU is to set forth the COUNTY'S financial participation in the cost of construction of an interstate interchange near 85th Street on Interstate 29 in Lincoln County between Exit 75 and Exit 73 in the event such interchange is constructed in the future.

* 3. MANNER OF FINANCING COUNTY'S PARTICIPATION

COUNTY'S financial participation in the interstate interchange project is contingent upon it securing appropriate financing that is in accordance with the requirements of SDCL Chapter 7 – 21 (County Budget and Appropriations) in addition to any other applicable statutory requirements. COUNTY agrees to diligently and in good faith endeavor to procure such financing through the State Infrastructure Bank (SIB) and/or other infrastructure funding mechanisms as COUNTY deems necessary and prudent.

4. RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COUNTY

A. In the event COUNTY has secured appropriate financing and the STATE constructs a new Interstate 29 interchange in Lincoln County between Exit 75 and Exit 73, COUNTY agrees to pay to the STATE the amount of Fifteen Million Dollars (\$15,000,000.00), payable over a ten-year period on such terms as are then agreed upon, to offset part of the costs of construction of the new interchange

if all applicable requirements are met and approved by Federal Highway Administration (FHWA).

B. In the event a new interchange is to be constructed as contemplated herein, a subsequent financing agreement between the parties to carry out the intent of this MOU will be executed.

5. EFFECTIVE DATE

This MOU will be in effect upon full execution of this MOU and will continue in effect until such time as one of the parties terminates the MOU.

6. TERMINATION

This MOU may be terminated by STATE upon sixty (60) days written notice to COUNTY. This MOU may be terminated by COUNTY upon written notice to the STATE'S Secretary before the first date the project to construct the new interchange is advertised for bids.

7. AMENDMENT

This MOU may not be amended except in writing, which writing will be expressly identified as a part of this MOU and be signed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

8. THIRD PARTIES

This MOU is intended to only govern the rights and interest of the parties named herein. It is not intended to, does not and may not be relied upon to create any rights, substantial or procedural, enforceable at law by any third party in any matters, civil or criminal.

The parties have caused this MOU to be executed as of the date of the last signature below.

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Bv

Its Secretary

Date:

Approved as to form:

Special Assistant Attorney General

LINCOLN COUNTY

Bv

Its Chairman, Board of County Commissioners

Date: 11 - 18-2014

Approved as to form:

Deputy State's Attorney

November 18, 2014

The Lincoln County Board of Commissioners met at 8:30 AM on November 18, 2014 with members David Gillespie, Jim Schmidt, Dennis Weeldreyer, Michael Poppens and Dale Long present The meeting was called to order with the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag. The Auditor was Clerk of the Board.

Motion by Gillespie and seconded by Poppens that the agenda be approved as presented. Motion carried.

PERSONNEL

Motion by Weeldreyer and seconded by Poppens to authorize to advertise to fill an Appraiser position vacancy at a Grade 108 for the Equalization Department. Motion Carried.

Motion by Schmidt and seconded by Gillespie to approve a 10% temporary salary increase for Shelly Britt, Deputy Assessor/Office Manager, effective 8/1/2014 to 11/7/2014. Motion carried.

Motion by Gillespie and seconded by Schmidt to approve a 10% temporary salary increase for Michael Nadolski, Chief Civil Deputy States Attorney, effective 8/1/2014 to 11/7/2014. Motion carried.

HIGHWAY

Motion by Poppens and seconded by Gillespie to authorize the Lincoln County Highway Superintendent to advertise for bids for structure replacements on 289th Street and 476th Avenue. Motion carried.

INFORMATIONAL SESSION

Toby Morris (Dougherty & Company, LLC.) presented statistics of county revenue, historical numbers and regional comparisons to a large group of interested citizens regarding the construction of an interchange near Interstate 29 and 85th Street in Lincoln County. Mr. Morris provided information regarding the opportunity to borrow interest free money from the South Dakota DOT along with various repayment sources available to Lincoln County to repay the borrowed money.

Several persons of varying interests expressed to the Lincoln County commission the importance of commercial growth along with the economic impact that this venture would have on Lincoln County taxpayers.

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

Motion by Schmidt and seconded by Poppens to authorize the Chairman to execute the Memorandum of Understanding between South Dakota Department of Transportation and Lincoln County as presented (a copy of which is filed in the Lincoln County Auditor's Office). Roll Call vote: Schmidt "Aye", Gillespie "Aye", Weeldreyer "Aye", Poppens "Aye", Long "Aye". Motion carried.

The board adjourned until Tuesday, November 25, 2014 at 8:30 am.

Lincoln County Board of Commissioners

Dale Long, Chairman

Attest:

Marlene Sweeter, Auditor

Approved

DOT-121 Use the TAB key to move to the next field (Rev 07/12) See DOT 121 Instructions for completing this form

Ś

SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AGREEMENT AND WORK ORDER COVER SHEET

	Sellect	Enter number						and in the same	. Sheen			
Contract Type	New Agreement		Note: 4	A DOT-121 for	m required i	or workorders a	nd amendin	ente lo mora	orders			
Complete for Ameridane to CONTRACT NUMBER	<u>0~9~5~0~</u>	y.						ASSIGNED	P	mitial	2	
SECOND PARTY NAME:							-1	11.5	,			
Lincoln Co	unty											
ADDRESS											5	
104 North	Main, Canton	, SD 57013										
VENDOR NUMBER (IF	PURPOSE CODE		PERIO	D COVERED	SI	ART DATE	EXPIRA	TION DATE				
	900						Pr	epurty	_			
MOU between SDI Agreement/Applica	DOT and Lincoin Coun	ty-MOU to provide op	portuni	ty for future	e funding	with terms to	o be deter	mined by	SIB Loa	in		
ORIGINATING OFFICE		DOT-CONTACT PERSON(S)								PHONE		
11123		Mike Behm								773-4428		
WAS STANDARD TEMPLAT	E USED WITHOUT CHANGE? Ente		1	Yes	1		_					
				Ma	_							
	GREEMENT PER SDCL 1-24-6,17		1	No			1					
HAS & MORATORIUM BEEN	NARE OR BIT CONSULTING? Entr ISSUED BY BIT? Yeu/No	er Yes/No. (If yes, answer the foll-	wing ques	stions & attach i	SCT. PENIEW doc	Click on link to	Morator	ium regu	est.			
W-SATTACHED (Click link t			1	No		Glick on link fo	19-9 Ear	≂ link c	D BOA			
	OFESSIONAL SERVICE				and the second se					NO		
and the second s	NUTES FOR CONTRACTS WITH L	the second s		- All - Wall and a state of the						112		
				Lonito								
DOES THIS CONTRACT	REQUIRE A DOT BILLING? Er	nter Yes / No	1	No								
			1									
DOES THIS CONTRACT		nter Yes / No ACTUAL COST LUMP SUM										
		ACTUAL COST		No				,	MOLL			
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI		ACTUAL COST			DE	SCRIPTION			NOU			0
		ACTUAL COST		No	OE	SCRIPTION		1	MOU			
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST		No	DE	SCRIPTION			MOU			J
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST	S	No	DE	SCRIPTION	LOC	ME	MOONLY	STAL PROJECT		J
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI GOMMENTS: Dale Long, County C	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST	SI	No X	UE	SCRIPTION	LOC	ME	MOONLY	TAL PROJECT		J
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI GOMMENTS: Date Long, County C	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST	S1	No X		SCRIPTION	LOC	ME	MOONLY			J
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI COMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT	Ommissioner	ACTUAL COST		No X		SCRIPTION		ME	MOONLY			J
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI GOMMENTS: Dale Long, County C YOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT	ammissioner AMOUNT PAYABLE	ACTUAL COST		No X		SCRIPTION	LOC	ME	MOONLY			J
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI COMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL	ammissioner AMOUNT PAYABLE	ACTUAL COST		No X TATE SHARE		orksheel will ope	In Tab to ent	ME ALICITY SHA SHI COT codditi	MQ ONLY	*		J
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI COMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT INCLUDED IN ABOVE	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST LUMP SUM OTHER FEDERAL SHARE		No X TATE SHARE	het below. A w	orksheet will ope yorkuheet to ret DOT PRO	In Tab to ent	MEL ALJCITY SHA ar BOT codin 21 form.	MO ONLY NRE TO	- - OT project codir		J
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI GOMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT INCLUDED IN ABOVE	ammissioner AMOUNT PAYABLE	ACTUAL COST		No X TATE SHARE		orksheet will ope wolksheet to reti	In. Tab to ent	MEL ALJCITY SHA ar BOT codin 21 form.	MQ ONLY	*		J
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI COMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT INCLUDED IN ABOVE	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST LUMP SUM OTHER FEDERAL SHARE	Dout	No X TATE SHARE	ret Bolow A y	orksheet will ope workwheet to reto DOT PRO	n. Tab to ent um to DOT-13 JECT CODIN	ME ALICITY SHA ar GOT codda 21 form. G	NO ONLY IRE TO Ig Close D BEG.	- - - OT project codin END p		J
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI COMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT INCLUDED IN ABOVE	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST LUMP SUM OTHER FEDERAL SHARE	Dout	No X TATE SHARE	ret Bolow A y	orksheet will ope workwheet to reto DOT PRO	n. Tab to ent um to DOT-13 JECT CODIN	ME ALICITY SHA ar GOT codda 21 form. G	NO ONLY IRE TO Ig Close D BEG.	- - - OT project codin END p		J
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI COMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT INCLUDED IN ABOVE	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST LUMP SUM OTHER FEDERAL SHARE	Dout	No X TATE SHARE	ret Bolow A y	orksheet will ope workwheet to reto DOT PRO	n. Tab to ent um to DOT-13 JECT CODIN	ME ALICITY SHA ar GOT codda 21 form. G	NO ONLY IRE TO Ig Close D BEG.	GT project codu	3	J
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI COMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT INCLUDED IN ABOVE	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST LUMP SUM OTHER FEDERAL SHARE	Dout	No X TATE SHARE	ret Bolow A y	orksheet will ope workwheet to reto DOT PRO	n. Tab to ent um to DOT-13 JECT CODIN	ME ALICITY SHA ar GOT codda 21 form. G	NO ONLY IRE TO Ig Close D BEG.	GT project codu		J
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI COMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT INCLUDED IN ABOVE	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST LUMP SUM OTHER FEDERAL SHARE	Dout	No X TATE SHARE	ret Bolow A y	orksheet will ope workwheet to reto DOT PRO	n. Tab to ent um to DOT-13 JECT CODIN	ME ALICITY SHA ar GOT codda 21 form. G	NO ONLY IRE TO Ig Close D BEG.	GT project codu	3	
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI COMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT INCLUDED IN ABOVE	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST LUMP SUM OTHER FEDERAL SHARE	Dout	No X TATE SHARE	ret Bolow A y	orksheet will ope workwheet to reto DOT PRO	n. Tab to ent um to DOT-13 JECT CODIN	ME ALICITY SHA ar GOT codda 21 form. G	NO ONLY IRE TO Ig Close D BEG.	GT project codu	3	J
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI COMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT INCLUDED IN ABOVE	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST LUMP SUM OTHER FEDERAL SHARE	Dout	No X TATE SHARE	ret Bolow A y	orksheet will ope workwheet to reto DOT PRO	n. Tab to ent um to DOT-13 JECT CODIN	ME ALICITY SHA ar GOT codda 21 form. G	NO ONLY IRE TO Ig Close D BEG.	GT project codu	3	
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI COMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT INCLUDED IN ABOVE	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST LUMP SUM OTHER FEDERAL SHARE	Dout	No X TATE SHARE	ret Bolow A y	orksheet will ope workwheet to reto DOT PRO	n. Tab to ent um to DOT-13 JECT CODIN	ME ALICITY SHA ar GOT codda 21 form. G	NO ONLY IRE TO Ig Close D BEG.	GT project codu	3	
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI COMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT INCLUDED IN ABOVE	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST LUMP SUM OTHER FEDERAL SHARE	Dout	No X TATE SHARE	ret Bolow A y	orksheet will ope workwheet to reto DOT PRO	n. Tab to ent um to DOT-13 JECT CODIN	ME ALICITY SHA ar GOT codda 21 form. G	NO ONLY IRE TO Ig Close D BEG.	GT project codu	3	
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI COMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT INCLUDED IN ABOVE	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST LUMP SUM OTHER FEDERAL SHARE	Dout	No X TATE SHARE	ret Bolow A y	orksheet will ope workwheet to reto DOT PRO	n. Tab to ent um to DOT-13 JECT CODIN	ME ALICITY SHA ar GOT codda 21 form. G	NO ONLY IRE TO Ig Close D BEG.	GT project codu	3	
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI GOMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT INCLUDED IN ABOVE ACCOUNT ACCOUNT TOTAL	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST LUMP SUM OTHER FEDERAL SHARE	Dout	No X TATE SHARE	ret Bolow A y	orksheet will ope workwheet to reto DOT PRO	n. Tab to ent um to DOT-13 JECT CODIN	ME ALICITY SHA ar GOT codda 21 form. G	NO ONLY IRE TO Ig Close D BEG.	GT project codu	3	
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI GOMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT INCLUDED IN ABOVE ACCOUNT	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST LUMP SUM OTHER FEDERAL SHARE	Could a second s	No X TATE SHARE	ret Bolow A y	orksheet will ope worksheet to ret DOT PRO CONTROL REF	n. Tab to entrum to DOT-12	ME ALICITY SHA ar GOT codda 21 form. G	NO ONLY IRE TO Ig Close D BEG.	GT project codu	3	
AGREEMENT TYPE (CHI GOMMENTS: Dale Long, County C TOTAL PAYABLE AMT AMENDMENT TOTAL FIXED FEE AMOUNT INCLUDED IN ABOVE ACCOUNT ACCOUNT TOTAL	ECK ONE)	ACTUAL COST LUMP SUM OTHER FEDERAL SHARE	Could a second s		ret Bolow A y	orksheet will ope workwheet to reto DOT PRO	n. Tab to entrum to DOT-12	ME ALICITY SHA ar GOT codda 21 form. G	NO ONLY IRE TO Ig Close D BEG.	GT project codu	3	



LINCOLN COUNTY OFFICE OF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY

104 North Main Street, Suite 200 Canton, SD 57013 Phone (605) 764-5732 Fax (605) 764-2931

FILE COPY

Attachment #2

Ronda R. Headrick – Office Administrator Susan M. Doherty – Victim/Witness Assi,

Thomas R. Wollman - State's Attorney

Michael F. Nadolski – Chief Civil Deputy Ross M. Wright – Deputy State's Attorney Ellen Kuhler Kappmeyer – Deputy State's Attorney Ryan D. Wiese – Deputy State's Attorney

November 18, 2014

Secretary Darin Bergquist South Dakota Department of Transportation Becker - Hansen Building 700 E. Broadway Avenue Pierre, SD 57501

> Re: Memorandum of Understanding between South Dakota Department of Transportation and Lincoln County, South Dakota

Dear Secretary Bergquist:

Enclosed, please find the above-referenced Memorandum of Understanding executed in duplicate by Commissioner Long on November 18, 2014. Once fully executed on behalf of the Department, please send an original for our records. I have enclosed a self-addressed stamped envelope for your convenience. We look forward to working with you on the I-29 interchange project.

Best regards.

Sincerely yours,

F. Nadol Attorney State'

Enclosures