

SOUTH DAKOTA BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF PSYCHOLOGISTS
BOARD MEETING MINUTES
October 12, 2018
Holiday Inn Express, Fort Pierre, SD

Members Present: Thomas Stanage, Ph.D., (President); Karen Wiemers, Ph.D. (Vice President); Trisha Miller, Ph.D., (Secretary); Matthew Christiansen, Ph.D., Member; Robert Overturf, Lay Member; Robert Buri, Ph.D. (via conference call)

Members Absent: None. Since our last meeting, Alice Bruce (Lay Member) resigned from her position and her position is yet unfilled. Since our last meeting, Attorney Gina Nelson is no longer assigned as legal counsel leaving our legal counsel position unfilled at present as well.

Others Present: Carol Tellinghuisen, Executive Administrator; Jill Lesselyoung, Administrative Assistant; Brooke Tellinghuisen Geddes, Administrative Assistant (via conference call); Robert Belisle, Kate Andal, Erin Murtha-Berg, (joined the business meeting at 11:23pm CDT.)

Stanage called the meeting to order at 8:31am CDT.

Executive Session: The board entered executive session at 8:33am CDT on a unanimous roll call vote based on a motion by Christiansen and a second by Wiemers for the purpose of administering Orals Examination to Applicant #'s 574, 573, 572, 571, and 386. Stanage, yes; Wiemers, yes; Miller, yes; Christiansen, yes; Overturf, yes; Buri, yes. The board exited executive session at 11:10am CDT following a unanimous roll call vote based on a motion by Wiemers and a second by Overturf. Stanage, yes; Wiemers, yes; Miller, yes; Christiansen, yes; Overturf, yes; Buri, yes.

A ten-minute break was taken before Stanage called the meeting back to order at 11:23am CDT.

Welcome and Introductions: Guests were welcomed by Stanage.

Roll Call: Lesselyoung called the roll. A quorum was present.

Conflicts to Declare: None.

Corrections or Additions to the Agenda: Wiemers inserted motion, which was seconded by Christiansen, to grant licensure to Applicant #'s 574, 573, 572, 571, and 386. This motion passed unanimously by roll call vote. Stanage, yes; Wiemers, yes; Miller, yes; Christiansen, yes; Overturf, yes; Buri, yes. No other changes to the agenda were discussed at this time.

Approval of Agenda: Overturf motioned, Christiansen seconded to approve meeting agenda as written. Motion carried unanimously by roll call vote. Stanage, yes; Wiemers, yes; Miller, yes; Christiansen, yes; Overturf, yes; Buri, yes.

Public Testimony/Public Comment Period: Adjusted from 11:00am to 11:28am CDT due to delay in meeting start time. There were no persons from the public present for comment.

Approval of Minutes from June 1, 2018 and August 3, 2018 Meetings: Christiansen motioned to approve June 1, 2018 and August 3, 2018 meeting minutes as written. Weimers seconded. Motion carried on

unanimous roll call vote. Stanage, yes; Wiemers, yes; Miller, yes; Christiansen, yes; Overturf, yes; Buri, yes.

FY Financial Update: Lesselyoung reported on the finances both as of June 30, 2018 and at present. Lesselyoung reported that as of June 30, 2018, revenue was at \$69,265.19, expenditures were at \$57,436.29, and Cash Balance was at \$103,098.74. Lesselyoung reported current figures as of August 31, 2018. Current Revenue is \$6,286.76; expenses are \$14,026.71; cash Balance is \$95,358.79. Wiemers moved and Miller seconded motion to accept both financial reports. Motion carried on unanimous roll call vote. Stanage, yes; Wiemers, yes; Miller, yes; Christiansen, yes; Overturf, yes; Buri, yes.

ASPPB Fall Meeting October 17-21, 2018 – Salt Lake City, UT: Executive staff made board aware that at present there is no one planning to attend this meeting. After some discussion that important updates are occurring with regard to licensure mobility, EPPP-2, etc., Buri stated he will examine his schedule to determine if able to represent board in attendance. The Spring 2019 ASPPB meeting is set for mid-April 2018 in Santa Fe, New Mexico. Wiemers made a motion, seconded by Christiansen, to approve a board member and/or executive staff member to attend both the Fall 2018 and Spring 2019 ASPPB meetings. Motion passed unanimously by roll call vote. Stanage, yes; Wiemers, yes; Miller, yes; Christiansen, yes; Overturf, yes; Buri, yes.

Board recognized Agenda Item #17 would also require Executive Session at this point in meeting and that one combined executive session would be more efficient. Wiemers motioned to move Agenda Item #17 into agenda for Item #10 – Executive Session; Overturf seconded. The motion passed unanimously by roll call vote. Stanage, yes; Wiemers, yes; Miller, yes; Christiansen, yes; Overturf, yes; Buri, yes.

Executive Session- Pursuant to SDCL-1-25-2: Weimers motioned and Overturf seconded to enter executive session at 11:44am CDT for purpose of discussing three agenda items, complaints/Investigations and Applicant for Licensure #2018-2, as well as Applicant for Licensure #384 – request for early oral examination. Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote. Stanage, yes; Wiemers, yes; Miller, yes; Christiansen, yes; Overturf, yes; Buri, yes. Belisle, Andal, and Murtha-Berg stepped out at this time. Christiansen moved, Wiemers seconded to exit executive session at 12:08pm CDT. Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote, Stanage, yes; Wiemers, yes; Miller, yes; Christiansen, yes; Overturf, yes; Buri, yes.

Andal re-entered the meeting at 12:09pm CDT; Belisle and Murtha-Berg did not return to the meeting.

EPPP-2 Discussion: Stanage provided brief update with regard to status change in EPPP-2; ASPPB has reconsidered and now removed the requirement of all states to implement EPPP-2. Discussion was held with regard to cost-benefit considerations, licensure mobility considerations, etc. Board will await further updates on the status of EPPP-2 implementation consideration and/or adoption by other states.

CEU Discussion: Attempt was made to reach Dr. Mark Perrenoud via phone at start upon reaching this agenda item, per Perrenoud's request to attend this portion of meeting discussion. Upon being reached, Dr. Perrenoud declined invitation to speak due to schedule conflict. Executive staff presented concerns voiced from Dr. Jerry Buchkoski with regard to his desire that the board strongly consider costs to licensees that would incur with specifying a minimum number of CEU's required to maintain licensure. Andal voiced agreement with this concern. Further discussion was held, and executive staff circulated a document of data with regard to the current requirements in all other states. Tellinghuisen made board aware that in examining current licensee list, approximately forty licensees are out-of-state, and it is unknown how many current licensees are retired but continue to maintain their licensure. Stanage recognized aloud that

SD requirements are not at the average standard among states and introduced that perhaps a 15 CEU/year requirement be looked into. Discussion led to agreement of members of the board to move item forward. Tellinghuisen advised she would contact Legislative Research Council to determine timeline and requirements, if able to make the CEU specification change through rules. Stanage speculated that timeframes involved in policy changes will likely not allow a change to go into effect for at least one year, at minimum. Executive staff agreed to look into the details and report back to the board at next meeting.

CPQ, PLUS Discussion: Stanage explained that he looked back on previous years' board meeting notes to discover what halted the decision to adopt use of CPQ or the National Register in the past. Stanage found that the CPQ does not require licensees to have a predoctoral internship (although CPQ applicants must have had five years of practice without complaint) and that the National Register approves applicants who have completed an online-only degree approved by APA. Stanage stated, given concerns with regard to mobility, he would favor moving forward in allowing applicants who have been approved through CPQ or the National Register program to be considered eligible for licensure in SD. Board members inquired what would be involved in moving this forward. Tellinghuisen advised there would be a legislative change required and the language would need to be finalized and to the Department by August 1, 2019 in order to go forward with the 2020 legislative session.

PSYPACT Discussion: Miller stated that the amount of money the board would be required to provide annually toward PSYPACT if involved would seem to outweigh the benefits to current licensees, given the suspected low number of licensees interested in across-state telemental health involvement at this time. Should the financial commitment required, and/or the number of licensees involved change to justify PSYPACT involvement, the board will re-examine this issue.

Vote on Code of Conduct: Code of Conduct and Conflict of Interest Policy for Use by State Authorities, Board, Commission, and Committee Members was previously provided for board members to examine prior to meeting. Overturf motioned to adopt the document for use by the board; Buri seconded. Motion passed unanimously by roll call vote. Stanage, yes; Wiemers, yes; Miller, yes; Christiansen, yes; Overturf, yes; Buri, yes.

Board Training Materials: The Board office created and disseminated a training manual for Board members consisting of ASPPB Regulatory Training Manual, notes pertaining to Robert's Rules of Order, SD Open Meeting Laws, and the Department of Social Services Boards & Commissions Meeting Guidelines.

Any Other Business: None.

Next Meeting: The next meeting was set for Friday, January 4, 2019 at 8:30am CST in Sioux Falls, SD. Any business that needs to be addressed prior to this date will take place via teleconference.

Motion to adjourn was made by Miller, seconded by Overturf. Stanage adjourned meeting at 1:16pm CDT following unanimous roll call vote to do so. Stanage, yes; Wiemers, yes; Miller, yes; Christiansen, yes; Overturf, yes; Buri, yes.

Respectfully submitted,

Trisha T. Miller, Ph.D.
Secretary

1-27-1.17. Draft minutes of public meeting to be available--Exceptions--Violation as misdemeanor. The unapproved, draft minutes of any public meeting held pursuant to § 1-25-1 that are required to be kept by law shall be available for inspection by any person within ten business days after the meeting. However, this section does not apply if an audio or video recording of the meeting is available to the public on the governing body's website within five business days after the meeting. A violation of this section is a Class 2 misdemeanor. However, the provisions of this section do not apply to draft minutes of contested case proceedings held in accordance with the provisions of chapter 1-26.

DRAFT