## Meeting Minutes SOUTH DAKOTA BOARD OF EDUCATION STANDARDS 800 Governors Drive, Pierre SD (MacKay Building, First Floor, Library Commons

Live streaming of meeting: http://www.sd.net

To join via conference call Dial - 346 -248 -7799 Meeting ID: 971 3135 2324.....Passcode: 452741

1	Members Present:	Becky Guffin	
2	Phyllis Heineman Bisk Maxam		
3		Rich Meyers Terry Nebelsick	
4 5		Linda Olsen	
6		Steve Willard	
7	Via ZOOM	Julie Westra	
8			
9	DOE Staff Present:	Tiffany Sanderson, Laura Scheibe, Amanda LaCroix, Vera Tipton, Shannon	
10		Malone, Yutzil Becker, Matt Gill, Jane Cronin, Amy Gabriel, Amy Miller,	
11		Linda Turner, Jennifer Tschetter, and Ferne Haddock.	
12			
13	Others in attendance: Ruth Fodness, Alyssa Larson, Jay Trenhaile, Amy Schweinle, Robin		
14		Wiebers and other members of the public in attendance in person, via	
15		ZOOM, or telephone.	
16	Call to Order Bladge	of Allegiance, and Poll Coll	
17 18	Call to Order, Pledge of Allegiance, and Roll Call		
18 19	The South Dakota Board of Education Standards (BOES) was called to order by President Guffin		
20	at approximately 10:06 a.m. CT.		
21			
22	President called a bri	ef recess due to technical issues at approximately 10:07 a.m. CT.	
23			
24	President called the i	meeting back to order at approximately 10:11 a.m. CT	
25			
26	Roll call, a quorum of the Board was present.		
27			
28	Adoption of Agenda		
29		a second by Willowidte education with 10, 2022, seconds Melian water All	
30 21	Motion by Heineman second by Willard to adopt the July 18, 2022, agenda. Voice vote. All		
31 32	present voted in favor. Motion carried. Voting aye: Heineman, Meyers, Olsen, Westra, Willard, Nebelsick, and Guffin.		
32 33	NUSCISICK, and Guilli	1.	
33 34	Approval of Minutes	i de la constante de la constan	
35	Photon of the second second		

36 37	Motion by Meyer second by Heineman to approve the May 6, 2022, minutes. Voice vote. All present voted in favor. Motion carried. Voting aye: Heineman, Meyers, Olsen, Westra, Willard,		
38	Nebelsick, and Guffin.		
39	Conflicts Disclosures (SDCL 3-23):		
40			
41	Guffin Conflict Disclosure.		
42	Olsen Conflict Disclosure		
43	Willard Conflict Disclosure		
44			
45	Public Comment: SDCL 1-25-1		
46			
47	There was no public comment.		
48			
49	Public Hearing-RULES: - ARSD 24:55 (Public School Accountability System)		
50			
51	The Board convened a public hearing at approximately 10:15 a.m. CT on ARSD 24:55 (Public		
52	School Accountability System)		
53			
54	Proponent Testimony		
55			
56	Shannon Malone, Director, Division of Learning and Instruction, DOE, presented proposed rules		
57	which reflect changes that govern the accountability system, including policies, practices, and		
58	procedures that have occurred in the Public-School Accountability System. The proposed		
59	changes align and are consistent with state accountability processes as approved through Every		
60	Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) recently approved by the U.S. Department of Education. Malone		
61	stated that majority of the changes are to update and remove definitions that are no longer		
62	applicable to current or proposed rules and to update outdated assessment verbiage.		
63			
64	Malone continued by presenting specific changes to the rules packet, including, but not limited		
65	to removal of gap group and non-gap group as they are no longer required by ESSA, and		
66	cleanup language to reflect school level. Malone mentioned the added specific subgroups		
67	required by ESSA and recognized on the state report card. Malone added that acronyms are		
68	being spelled out to add clarity. Malone presented language changes specifically for our state		
69	assessment(s).		
70			
71	Malone continued by presenting changes in the appendix section of the packet. Some changes		
72	are in language calculations, updating the assessment names, language changes to progress		
73	toward post high school credentials calculations, and other various language clean up. Malone		
74	went on to explain changes to the targeted support and achievement school's section.		
75			
76	Malone mentioned the date range changes; due to Covid the long-term goals have been		
77	extended by additional two years.		
78			
79	Malone stated that there were no public comments received on these rules.		

80			
81	Malone shared that they met with stakeholder groups. The Committee of Practitioners served		
82	as a longstanding stakeholder group and that they meet with them at least twice a year to		
83	provide updates and information and seek guidance from them as they think about revisions to		
84	our state plan and accountability rules.		
85			
86	Board Questions and discussion		
87			
88	Nebelsick stated that subgroups are vital to school districts to do everything they could to help		
89 90	a child. He asked; if it is required in the first year enrolled in a school, assessment tests in mathematics and science, are those tests done without the any help or modifications language		
91	barriers?		
92			
93	Malone responded yes.		
94			
95	Nebelsick then stated that often some take the math and science test without any proficiency		
96	in English, because it takes seven years to go from zero English to total proficiency. And yet our		
97	laws have them tested in English on what they know about math and science. Then they are		
98	graded as a zero, then as they grow and develop in English language skills, we find out they		
99	were brilliant in math and science. Nebelsick wanted to share that there is a cloud around those		
100	helping those kids, that they are not tested in their native language, but in English without		
101	assistance.		
102	Malana stated that students that are identified as first user in country (within the first $12$		
103 104	Malone stated that students that are identified as first year in country, (within the first 12 months arriving in a public school), do get leniency that within that first year, while they are		
104	required to take the math and science assessments, their scores do not count for accountability		
105	purposes.		
107			
108	Meyers asked how long that has been in effect?		
109			
110	Malone answered that it has been in effect since she began at the department, and she has		
111	been here 18 years.		
112			
113	Guffin asked for some clarification on change to the attendance rate.		
114			
115	Malone answered that is clean-up language from many years ago, when the shift was made		
116	from 94% to 90%. It was just missed on the previous rules.		
117			
118	The Board had some question on the English Learners (EL) receiving a zero entry for scoring		
119	rather than exempting the score.		
120			
121	Yutzil Becker, Assistant Administrator, Office of Title Programs, DOE, explained that the		
122	calculations related to the numerator and the denominator for EL on track, EL exited, and EL		

123 124 125	progress rate. Goals are set for students for each year, until they exit the program, and she noted an exception relating to special education.
125	Nobalcick acked what doos it moon for the School Derformance Indicators (SDI) if it is in the
126	Nebelsick asked what does it mean for the School Performance Indicators (SPI) if it is in the denominator and not the numerator?
127 128	denominator and not the numerator?
128	Becker responded that there are no SPI points for these categories, it is for participation
130	purposes versus actual SPI points.
130	
132	Heineman asked why someone would not take the test?
133	nemental asked why someone would not take the test.
134	Becker responded that it could be that parents refuse for their student to take the assessment,
135	it could have been that the school missed it, or perhaps lack of communication between
136	previous school and current school and other variety of reasons.
137	
138	Nicole Hanson, workgroup member testified in favor of the rules.
139	
140	Opponent testimony
141	
142	There was no opponent testimony.
143	
144	Motion by Heineman second Meyer to approve the rules as presented
145	
146	Board Discussion
147	
148	Nebelsick stated that the work was high level. And that he was appreciative of the people that
149	have been out in the field working with the schools. He also stated that he knows it is a
150	balancing act between meeting the needs of the schools and staying in compliance with rules
151	and regulations which are the mechanisms that cause funding that South Dakota could not
152	afford without that funding.
153	
154	Guffin stated that we do the best we can at the time, with the information we have, and
155	acknowledged that it impacts different districts in different ways.
156	
157	Meyer stated that it truly is about the students, scores are great, rules are fine, but ultimately it
158	is the student we must consider.
159	
160	Nebelsick added that there is tremendous work being done and we have to measure it. But it
161	still gets back to loving and supporting every child.
162	
163	Voice vote. All present voted in favor. Motion carried. Voting aye: Heineman, Meyers, Olsen,
164	Westra, Willard, Nebelsick, and Guffin.
165	
166	Hearing Concluded at approximately 10:56 a.m. CT.

167			
168	Update: School Psychological Examiner Recommendations		
169			
170	Linda Turner, Director, Division of Special Education and Early Learning, DOE, stated that during the		
171	public hearing in April, it was proposed to eliminate the School Psychological Examiner endorsement.		
172	However, based on public comment and questions about the impact of eliminating the endorsement,		
173	the Board did not act on the proposed rules and asked DOE to form a workgroup to further evaluate the		
174	issues and then report back to the Board.		
175			
176	Turner reported that a workgroup was formed, including parties involved as proponents and		
177	opponents. The workgroup recommendation was not to eliminate the endorsement.		
178			
179	Turner stated that the Board need not take any action. This just an update.		
180			
181	Turner added that the workgroup wanted to grandfather in individuals that currently have the		
182	endorsement, and not to have any of proposed changes impact them. The workgroup		
183	recommends developing a scope of practice, guidance, and coursework requirements.		
184			
185	Turner stated that DOE will follow up, via the certification office, with the scope of practice,		
186	guidance, and the coursework requirements for new individuals seeking the endorsement.		
187			
188	Turner went on to report that the workgroup recommends that the roles and responsibility of		
189	the School Psychological examiner be defined clearly for districts and co-ops. Turner stated that		
190	the Department will put together a guidance document outlining the responsibilities to meet		
191	rules and requirements, as well as a scope of practice for School Psychological Examiners,		
192	including the role of the School Psychologists in the process as well as responsibilities of the		
193	hiring entities ensure they are meeting requirements.		
194			
195	Turner stated the next steps will be for the Department to put coursework in place, develop		
196	and disseminate guidance document. Additionally, the Department will include in the Special		
197	Education reviews for districts determining if a School Psychological Examiner is used that		
198	district make sure that they are applying the appropriate policies. The Department will continue		
199	to explore opportunities to address the shortages of School Psychologists.		
200			
201	Ruth Fodness, School Psychologist, and workgroup member, spoke in support of the workgroup		
202	recommendations. Fodness gave a summary of the background for the need of School		
203	Psychological Examiners in the past and the need for oversight of the examiners by School		
204	Psychologists.		
204			
205	Alyssa Larson, School Psychologist, and workgroup member, spoke in support of the workgroup		
200	recommendations. The workgroup's goal was to recruit and train highly qualified School		
207	Psychological Examiners and wanted to make clear what is within the scope of their practice		
208	and what is outside of that scope and to support the School Psychologists that are tasked with		
209	supporting and supervising the School Psychological Examiners.		
210	Supporting and supervising the school r sychological Lanniners.		

211		
212	Board Questions and Discussion	
213		
214 215	Heineman asked what universities offer the School Psychological Examiners program?	
216 217	Turner explained that you may not go to any university just for the purpose of becoming a School Psychological Examiner, it is part of other programs.	
218		
219 220	Heineman asked How does the grandfathering work for those who have the currently have the endorsement?	
221		
222 223	Turner answered that those currently practicing with the endorsement, there is one lifetime certificate, and the rest on a five-year cycle for renewal. The new requirements would not apply	
224 225	to those with the endorsement currently. The new requirements would be for new individuals seeking the endorsement, they would not be applied to those who have the endorsement at	
226	present.	
227		
228 229	Nebelsick commended the composition of the workgroup. However, he would caution that in the future workgroups consider adding those who pay the bills, like superintendents of schools	
230	or business managers.	
231		
232 233	Guffin asked what course credit will be requested?	
234 235	Turner stated that 30 transcripted credits. That did not change.	
236 237	Guffin asked what are other endorsement credit requirements?	
238 239	Jane Cronin, Certification Team Leader, office of Certification, DOE answered that most of the endorsement options have multiple ways of adding the endorsements.	
240 241 242	Heineman asked how many School Psychologists and School Psychological Examiners are there in the schools today?	
243		
244 245	Turner responded that there are approximately 90 practicing School Psychologists, and about 13 employed with School Psychological Examiners endorsement, and only about seven to nine	
246 247	are practicing.	
248 249 250	Heineman asked why did the department initially seek to eliminate the School Psychological Examiner endorsement?	
251 252 253	Turner answered that they were seeing an influx of those seeking the endorsement. Because there isn't a program or a specific way to get the endorsement, they were having to piecemeal courses together to see if the fit the requirements. They also found people practicing with the	
-		

254 endorsement that were practicing outside of their scope. There were concerns that students 255 with disabilities were not receiving a comprehensive assessment. 256 257 Heineman asked it the department is more comfortable with the recommendations? 258 Turner stated that they are increasing the rigor and expectations and developing guidance to 259 assure that they are practicing within their scope and knowledge base. 260 261 There was board discussion on the shortage of School Psychologists and how schools address 262 that issue. 263 264 Turner stated that there are ways; some school districts are part of a co-operative, some 265 contract out. 266 267 President Guffin called a recess at approximately 11:25 a.m. CT. 268 269 President Guffin called the meeting back to order at approximately 11:34 a.m. CT. 270 271 **Special Education State Performance Plan Report** 272 Linda Turner, DOE, gave a report on the Special Education State Performance Plan. Turner said 273 274 that the annual Special Education State Performance Plan, for children school aged children three through 21. The State Performance Plan is required under the Individuals with Disabilities 275 Education Act (IDEA). The Plan is a six-year plan that has 17 indicators. They are required to 276 277 determine what the baseline is on the data and set targets for the six-year package. A new 278 package was released in December of 2020. This is the first-year reporting on that package. 279 280 **Board Questions and Discussion** 281 282 Meyer asked, how is the data collected? 283 Turner stated that it depends by indicator. A lot of the information is collected through the 284 285 Infinite Campus system, or as part of accountability and assessment, and districts track some items individually, parent surveys, some indicators require teachers add demographics to data 286 sources. Turner added that there is a variety of different data sources. Special Education 287 288 teachers and directors are obligated to report to local school boards. 289 290 First Reading Administrative Rules ARSD 24:43 291 292 Amanda LaCroix, Senior Staff Attorney, DOE, presented proposed changes to ARSD 24:43. As part of the Executive Order 2022-02 issued by the Governor earlier this year, the Board of 293 294 Education Standards (BOES) was directed to promulgate rules to prohibit adoption of content 295 standards that promote inherently divisive concepts or which direct or compel students or educators to personally affirm, adopt, or adhere to inherently divisive concepts. 296 297

298 299	Break due to technical issues at approximately 12:19 p.m. CT.
300 301	Back to order at approximately 12:23 p.m. CT.
302 303	LaCroix continued to present the proposed rules changes.
304 305 306 307	Motion by Heineman second by Nebelsick to move the proposed rules to a hearing. Voice vote. All present voted in favor. Motion carried. Voting aye: Heineman, Meyers, Olsen, Westra, Willard, Nebelsick, and Guffin.
308 309	Adoption of recommended Praxis cut scores
310 311 312 313	Kathy Reidy, Administrator, Office of Accreditation, DOE, presented the recommended Praxis cut scores. She began by stating that there were no new tests, they were regenerated only. The scores reflect updates in industry standards.
314 315	Board Questions and Discussion
316 317	Meyers asked, how are the recommended cut scores determined?
318 319	Riedy answered that they are arrived at through a panel.
320 321	Heineman asked, are these the same cut scores that were previously on these tests?
322 323	Riedy stated that no they are not. There were some changes.
324 325 326	Olson asked if BOES would be able review the cut scores if there were an issue with candidate's struggle with passing?
327 328 329	Riedy did say that if there were issues, they would bring them back to the board for reconsideration.
330 331 332 333	Motion by Nebelsick second by Olsen to adopt the recommended Praxis cut Scores as presented. Voice vote. All present voted in favor. Motion carried. Voting aye: Heineman, Meyers, Olsen, Westra, Willard, Nebelsick, and Guffin.
334 335	South Dakota State University (SDSU) Educator Preparation Program Approval
336 337 338 339 340 341	Riedy outlined the accreditation process background. Every seven years a university that offers an educator preparation program must undergo either a state accreditation review or a Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) accreditation review. Riedy stated that we have a joint agreement with CAEP and so accept their findings. All state schools do submit to a CAEP review.

342 Riedy stated that Jay Tranhaile, Director of Education, Counseling, and Human Development, 343 SDSU, was listening online and would be available for questions. 344 Riedy reported that the SDSU Educator Preparation Program underwent a CAEP review in 2019. 345 346 The findings of that review indicated stipulations for the standards of clinical partnership and 347 practices, and program impact, and had not met the determinations for standard of quality assurance. These findings resulted in SDSU being placed on probation and then an interim 348 review was required. The probation review was held in October 2021. The findings of this 349 350 review indicated that all stipulations were corrected all standards had been met, and no issues 351 remained. CAEP awarded SDSU the remainder of the accreditation term through the spring of 352 2027. 353 354 Tranhaile, SDSU, stated that they were pleased to be able to make the necessary adjustments 355 and that the accreditation body agreed with the adjustments. 356 Motion by Heineman second by Willard to approve the Educator Preparation Program at SDSU 357 as presented. Voice vote. All present voted in favor. Motion carried. Voting aye: Heineman, 358 359 Meyers, Olsen, Westra, Willard, Nebelsick, and Guffin. 360 University of South Dakota (USD) Educator Preparation Program Approval 361 362 Riedy announced that Amy Schweinle, Dean, School of Ed Counseling & Psychology in 363 Education, and Robin Wiebers, Assoc. Dean, School of Ed, Division of Curriculum and Instruction 364 (USD) were listening online and would be available for questions. 365 366 367 Riedy reported that the USD Educator Preparation Program underwent a CAEP review in 368 October 2019. The findings of that review indicated two stipulations from the standards of program impact and had not met determination of standard of quality assurance. These 369 370 findings resulted in USD being placed on probation and an interim review probation review was required. The probation review was held in November 2021. The findings of this review 371 indicated that all stipulations were corrected, all standards had been met, and no issues 372 373 remained. USD had previously had an extension, so CAEP awarded USD the remainder of their 374 accreditation term through the spring of 2026. 375 376 Schweinle, USD, stated that for both SDSU and USD it is remarkable there were no stipulations 377 and no areas for improvement. It is commendable for both universities. 378 379 Motion by Meyers second by Westra to approve the Educator Preparation Program at USD as presented. Voice vote. All present voted in favor. Motion carried. Voting aye: Heineman, 380 Meyers, Olsen, Westra, Willard, Nebelsick, and Guffin. 381 382 383 Professional Administrators Practices and Standards (PAPSC) Commission Annual Report 2021 384 As per SDCL 13-43-39

385

- Jenna Schweiss, Commission Counsel, PAPSC, presented the PAPSC Annul Report 2021.
- 387 Schweiss also gave the board a summary of the PTPSC Annual Report 2021.
- 388

## 389 Secretary's Report

390

Tiffany Sanderson, Secretary of Education, DOE, began by commending Lina Turner and her office on the Special Education report, as well as district Special Education directors and

- teachers and for all the recording of data that goes along with it.
- 394

Sanderson also spoke on the work that began in May on the DOE staff's comprehensive review of all materials and programs inline with Executive Order 2022-02. The rules shared today came along with the executive order. Reporting on a few things that came out of the process were largely the policies, resources, and material are inline with Executive Order 2022-02. There were a small number if items that were deemed out of alignment. Action is being taken to modify or discontinue those items. Follow-up work related to the Executive Order will continue through October 1, 2022.

402

403 Sanderson reported that the state mentoring program wrapped up its sixth year in June. The 404 mentoring program continues grow each year.

405

Sanderson stated that the Social Studies Commission has begun meeting. The standards appear
to be on track for presentation at the first public hearing in September 2022. The standards
should be posted sometime in August 2022.

409

410 Sanderson reported related to School Nutrition most of the U S Department of Agriculture

411 (USDA) Covid Waivers expired in June 2022. The Keep Kids Feed Act recently passed in

412 Congress, that act is in recognition of supply chain challenges. There are a few new waivers

available that extend into the fall of 2022. Those relate to summer nutrition programs. There

- 414 are also some fiscal flexibility review waivers extended through June of 2023. With the
- 415 sunsetting of the Covid waivers, school nutrition programs will be returning to a traditional
- payment structure. Those families that qualify for free and reduced lunches should submit their
- 417 applications to their local school district.
- 418

Sanderson introduced Kathryn Blaha as the new Director of the Division of Accreditation and
 Certification at DOE. Sanderson added that George Seamon will be joining the DOE staff soon as
 the new State Librarian.

- 422
- 423 Adjournment:
- 424

425 Motion by Heineman second by Westra to adjourn. Voice vote. All present voted in favor.

426 Motion carried. Voting aye: Heineman, Meyers, Olsen, Westra, Willard, Nebelsick, and Guffin.

- 427
- 428

429 Meeting adjourned at approximately 12:52 p.m. CT.

430		
431		
432		
433		
434		
435		
436	Ferne G. Haddock	Date:09/20/2022
437	Executive Secretary BOES	