

Board of Regents

Request to use Federal
Demonstration Partnership
(FDP) Templates/Forms



Overview

- Request to Continue to Use FDP Forms
- About FDP
- FDP Forms (with comparison to State Forms)
 - Subaward Agreement Template
 - Risk Assessment Questionnaire
 - Contractor vs Subrecipient Relationship Determination Checklist



About FDP

- Made up of federal granting agencies, major academic research institutions, and research policy organizations
- Works to streamline the administration of federally sponsored research
- FDP members of all sectors cooperate in identifying, testing, and implementing new, more effective ways of managing federal research grants



About FDP (continued)

- Continuously works to improve productivity of research without compromising stewardship
- Develops standard and compliant forms/templates which meet 2 CFR 200 (Uniform Guidance) requirements
- Annually reviews and updates forms/templates to address changes in federal compliance
- [FDP Site](#)



FDP Forms/Templates

- Used by Institutions of Higher Education (IHE) Research Universities – “industry” standard
- Subrecipients are typically another research IHE such as UNL, UMN, Penn State, Purdue, etc. – these institutions use the same standardized IHE forms/templates
- Expected format by IHE



FDP Subaward Agreement

- Designed to expedite review by institutions receiving funding (facepage)
- Expected format by IHE
- Monitored/updated for federal developments
- Standard across IHE
- Includes reference to Prime Agreement and the Prime Agreement is included as an attachment



Compare FDP to SD Sub-Recipient Agreement

- Facepage for expedited review (dates, amounts, contacts, etc in the same location)
- Reference to SD State law, controlling law, and indemnification
- FDP format includes reference that subrecipient must adhere to the terms and conditions of the prime and prime is included
- The State of SD SDCL 1-56-10 attestation statement has been added to the FDP document as attachment 6



Compare FDP to State Risk Assessment

- Many similar questions
- DUNS # requirement for Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA)
- Specific State of SD entity questions not included - typically not needed as subrecipients are out of state or other BOR institutions
- [Federal Audit Clearinghouse](#)
- Standard form among IHE
- Vetted and on-going updating occurs annually



Compare FDP to State Contractor or Subrecipient Relationship Determination Checklist

- Developed for use by IHE for research
- Used to determine nature of the relationship
- Uses Uniform Guidance verbiage
- FDP form does not include as many definitions - refers to 2 CFR 200
- Vetted and on-going updating occurs annually



SDSU NSF Review

- Selected for NSF Targeted Review (based on the level of NSF funding provided for subawards) in February 2017. Our processes and forms were reviewed and No Concerns were noted by NSF.



Summary

- FDP is the “industry standard” for research grants for IHE
- IHE subrecipients expect and may only accept this format
- In Conclusion, BOR requests to continue to use FDP standard forms and templates
 - Subaward Agreement Template
 - Risk Assessment Questionnaire
 - Contractor vs Subrecipient Relationship Determination Checklist

