



**SOUTH DAKOTA 9-1-1 COORDINATION BOARD**

**MEETING MINUTES FOR MAY 3, 2018**

**VIA CONFERENCE CALL**

**Board members present:** Brown, DeNeui, Haines, Kippley, McPeek, Miller, Rufledt, Sawvell, Serr

**Board Members Absent:** Harding, Reinesch

**Staff Present:** Shawnie Rechtenbaugh (State 911 Coordinator), Maria King (NG911 Project Manager)

**Others present:** Jenna Howell (DPS), Dawn Hill (DPS), Arin Diedrich (DPS) , Tony Mangan (DPS), Wendy Day (911 Authority)

**I. Call to Order and Roll Call**

The meeting was called to order at 10:15 a.m. CDT by Rufledt. Roll call was taken and a quorum was present.

**II. Approval of Agenda**

Motion to approve the meeting agenda.

Moved by: McPeek    Seconded by: Miller

Discussion: None.

Vote: 9 yeas, 0 nays. Motion Carried unanimously.

**III. GeoComm Amendment**

Rechtenbaugh provided the board with a contract amendment at the previous board meeting. The amendment is for the Master Street Addressing Guide delta processing services to assist with maintaining ongoing synchronization between the state’s MSAG and the NG911 GIS dataset. The total contract would increase from \$3,914,139.00 to \$4,138,615.50 for a total increase of \$224,476.50.

Motion to amend the GeoComm contract, increasing the contract total to \$4,138,615.50.

Moved by: Kippley    Seconded by: Miller

Discussion: None.

Vote: 9 yeas, 0 nays. Motion Carried unanimously.

**IV. 911 Authority Amendment**

Rechtenbaugh provided the board with a contract amendment to the 911 Authority contract to add \$75,000 to the base contract, which ends April 3, 2022. The amendment would increase it to \$210,000.

Motion to amend the 911 Authority contract, increasing the contract total to \$210,000.

Moved by: McPeek    Seconded by: Haines

Discussion: None

Vote: 9 yeas, 0 nays. Motion carried unanimously.

**V. NG911 Project Next Steps**

Howell reported the PUC issued a final order dismissing our docket on April 30<sup>th</sup>. Jenna read the decision and advised we have 30 days to file an appeal with circuit court. Rufledt asked if the board needs to take any

action to not appeal. Jenna advised no action was necessary. The other recommendations discussed at the last meeting were:

- Send a letter to Comtech requesting they file bonafide interconnection requests with the rural carriers and the PUC as required by law. Request a breakdown of the monthly recurring costs we pay Comtech. Request an updated ESInet project plan with updated timeline.
- File a new declaratory petition with PUC asking a narrowing question related to competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) and if they are required to follow 49-31-79.
- File a petition to intervene in the original 2015 docket that Next Gen filed when they received their CLEC status. The ruling at that time reserved this interconnection responsibility question. By requesting to intervene, we could ask the PUC to now rule on that question.

Rechtenbaugh reported that Laurie Hickok, our project manager with Comtech, has resigned her position and her last day will be May 11<sup>th</sup>. No word on who will replace her at this time.

Motion to send letter to Comtech requesting the 3 items noted in discussion.

Moved by: Kippley    Seconded by: Haines

Discussion: Would the board approve the administrative sub-committee could approve the letter once Jenna drafts it and then provide a final copy to the board, or does the board want to meet and approve the letter before it is sent?

Amended motion: Moved to send a letter to Comtech requesting the 3 items noted in discussion. The administrative subcommittee will approve the final letter and provide a copy to the board upon sending.

Moved by: Kippley    Seconded by: Haines

Vote: 9 yea's, 0 nays. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion to file new declaratory petition asking the narrowed question as stated in discussion and authorize the administrative subcommittee to approve the final petition for submittal.

Moved by: DeNeui    Seconded by: Miller

Discussion: None

Vote: 9 yea's, 0 nays. Motion carried unanimously

Motion to file a petition to intervene in the original Next Gen docket for CLEC status.

Moved by: Haines    Seconded by: Kippley

Discussion: Kippley- what is the timeframe for PUC to respond? Howell-Not aware of a time requirement. I would guess they would take it up at the next meeting. On the declaratory ruling they have 60 days to respond.

Amended Motion: Move to file a petition to intervene in the original Next Gen docket for CLEC status and authorize the administrative subcommittee to approve the final petition for submittal.

Moved by: Haines    Seconded by: Kippley

Discussion: None

Vote: 9 yea's, 0 nays. Motion carried unanimously

## **VI. Other Business**

Next regular board meeting is scheduled for June 14, 2018, however Rechtenbaugh will be traveling out of state for the NASNA and NENA conference. We will move the meeting to June 7<sup>th</sup> instead. Location to be determined.

**VII. Public Comment**

There was no public comment.

**VIII. Adjourn**

Motion to adjourn the meeting.

Moved by: Miller      Seconded by: McPeek

Discussion: None

Vote: 9 yeas, 0 nays. Motion Carried unanimously. Meeting adjourned at 10:47a.m. Central Time.